

Review of: "Urban Agroecosystems in Dynamic Yamuna River Sandbars in Delhi, Capital City of India"

Biswajit Chakravorty

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The article is well written and gives interesting information about the productive use of sandbars. However, in order to bring more clarity to the article, the following few points are suggested:

Fig. 1a and 1b: Lat/long to be indicated in figures. Also, a legend is required for the blue and green colour sandbars indicating what they signify. In Fig. 1b, uniformity in indicating the sites would make it more clear, e.g., Site 1, Sandbar 1, and Sandbar 2 (instead of S_1 and S_2). Figures need to be more attractive and crispy.

Fig. 2: Uniform font. In the figure for 2013, part of the legend is hidden. Same scale to be adopted for easy visual comparison of change in the size of sandbars on the time scale. In general, the individual figures of site 1 and site 2 should have the same scale (it should not be m in one place and km in another place).

Fig. 11 and Fig. 12 contain the same photographs for site 1 and site 2.

At page 20, 2nd paragraph, 'Farmers consider 20 footsteps = 1 Bigha' to be checked since a Bigha is a measurement of area.

Page 25: Generally, in the Conclusion section, the outcome of the study is given. The references ([23] to [35]) may therefore be accommodated in the review of literature in the Introduction section.

Qeios ID: DCN7LJ · https://doi.org/10.32388/DCN7LJ