

Review of: "Who Is Responsible for Preventing Children's Sexual Harassment?"

Meesha Iqbal¹

¹ University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Abstract: ".....various settings, such as at the **governance, society**, educational institution, and **family levels.**" the settings need to be concrete.

Background: The first paragraph gives various statistics of harassment. These need to be given according to time frame e.g. xyz cases in a year, in a month etc. The whole introduction section does not trickle down into the research question being addressed by the study.

Methods:

1. Which archive documents?
2. The methods section is missing a lot of detail. Details about primary data collection need to be added. How many informants were there? How were these selected? How were they interviewed? Who interviewed them? How was data analyzed? Similarly, these details need to be added for secondary data as well.

Results:

1. where is figure 1 coming from? Have the authors created it, adopted it?

Discussion:

There are some good recommendations in the discussion part such as sex education. BUT how do these recommendations come from this study? Also, the study is not able to answer the question, **Who is responsible?** it seems as if everyone from the nuclear level till the govt is responsible. It is theoretically correct but does not tell the reader anything. It is equivalent to saying that the whole society is responsible. Thus, it does not trickle into actionable areas.

In a nutshell, the study has potential but the manuscript needs to be re-written while taking a scientific approach. The authors also need to scientifically put the data together, synthesize it and make sure that the discussion, results and methods talk to each other.