

Review of: "[Commentary] “Form Follows Function (FFF)” – Applying this Rule of Designers and Architects Can Reduce Misinterpretations and Methodical Shortcomings in Healthcare"

Muthu Baskaran Sivapatham

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

- **Abstract Clarity:**

- Consider providing a brief explanation of what is meant by "structured, pragmatic approaches" in the abstract to enhance clarity.

- **Ulm's Legacy Connection:**

- Articulate more explicitly in the abstract how Ulm's design legacy, specifically the "Form Follows Function" rule, influences healthcare.

- **Detailed Conflicts Explanation:**

- Enhance the abstract by providing more detailed insights into conflicts in healthcare evaluation, specifying misinterpretations and methodical limitations.

- **Practical Implications Emphasis:**

- Strengthen the emphasis on the practical implications of aligning measurement strategies with actual functions in the abstract.

- **Literature Review Depth:**

- Consider providing a more in-depth analysis in the literature review, offering detailed insights into how Ulm's design principles are applied in healthcare.

- **Philosophical Connection Elaboration:**

- Elaborate further on the philosophical connection between Albert Einstein's quote and its application to healthcare within the literature review.

- **Conceptual Clarity:**

- Ensure clear explanations of technical terms like "RWE," "ARR," and "Bayes Principle" within the content to aid

reader comprehension.

- **Smooth Transition Within the Paper:**

- Enhance the flow between different sections within the paper to improve overall coherence and readability.

- **Concluding Summary:**

- Consider summarizing key findings and reinforcing the significance in the conclusion to leave a lasting impact on the reader.

- **Encourage Future Research:**

- Suggest potential avenues for future research based on identified gaps in the paper within the concluding remarks.