

Review of: "Exploring Perceptions on Sexual Empowerment Among Heterosexual Black Women in Braamfontein, Johannesburg"

Farayi Kaseke¹

¹ University of Rwanda

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Title: Is appropriate for the content in the manuscript

Abstract: Okay

Introduction: All aspects are flowing

Data analysis: There is need for the detailed data collection procedure before you write about the data analysis. What information was collected through the interviews. How was the information collected, was it recorded, who did the recording, how was transcription done? How was trustworthiness, credibility etc ensured?

What are the themes and subthemes that came out in your analysis

Ethical considerations: Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the Department of Social Work Human Research Ethics Committee (Non-medical) of the University of the Witwatersrand. The ethics protocol number for this study is SW. All respondents gave their informed consent before participating, and all information was treated with confidentiality. Therefore, the participants' rights were not infringed upon during data collection.

Results: **Not necessary in a published article delete this paragraph.** *This article presents the empirical findings from the data collected during the interviews. The results section begins by presenting the participants' demographics. The identified themes and subthemes follow, including the codes used to identify them thematically. This is followed by an in-depth discussion of the themes and shows the relationship between the themes and the available literature.*

Table: Give it a name e.g. Table 1

a long time. - This is relative. Give the mean age and SD

Subtitle: Factors influencing sexual empowerment -Normally you would start with the perceptions then factors influencing come last

There is need to go back to Table and make corrections on the participants details in the results that are reported verbatim e. g In the table, participant number 6 is 25 year old yet is reported as 23 years old in text; Participant 3 In the table is 24 year old. There is need to look through this aspect and make the necessary corrections

There are also minor typographical errors in the document that I have highlighted in the uploaded supplementary document.