

Review of: "Knowledge, Perception and Challenges of Implementing Nutrition Screening: A Survey of Healthcare Professionals"

Muhammad Muzzammil

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Strengths:

Clear Objective: The study clearly states its objective of assessing the knowledge, perceptions, and challenges of Healthcare Professionals (HCPs) regarding the implementation of Nutrition Screening (NS) in pre-operative patients.

Relevance of the Topic: Malnutrition in pre-operative patients is a significant issue, and the study addresses the importance of NS in identifying and managing malnutrition.

Comprehensive Methods Section: The methods section is detailed, providing information on the study design, location, subjects, study instruments, ethical approval, and statistical analysis. This transparency adds credibility to the research.

Diverse Sample: The study involves a diverse group of Healthcare Professionals, including specialists, medical officers, nurses, dietitians, pharmacists, and others, contributing to a broader perspective.

Use of Likert Scale: The Likert scale is effectively used to assess participants' agreement with various statements, providing a quantitative measure of perceptions.

Identification of Challenges: The study identifies challenges faced by HCPs, such as uncertainty in referrals and lack of perceived doctor's orders, providing insights into potential barriers to NS implementation.

Areas for Improvement:

Title Clarity: The title is somewhat lengthy and could be clearer. Consider revising for brevity while maintaining clarity.

Abstract Conciseness: The abstract could be more concise while still summarizing the essential aspects of the study.

Introduction Enhancement: The introduction could benefit from a more concise overview of the existing literature on malnutrition in pre-operative patients and the importance of NS.

Data Presentation: Some data, especially in the results section, could be presented in a more visually appealing manner, such as using charts for better interpretation.

Discussion Depth: The discussion could delve deeper into comparing the study findings with existing literature, discussing implications for clinical practice, and suggesting avenues for future research.

Conclusion Synthesis: The conclusion provides a summary, but it could benefit from synthesizing key findings and their implications more explicitly.

Overall Assessment: The study contributes valuable insights into the knowledge, perceptions, and challenges faced by HCPs in implementing NS for pre-operative patients. With some refinements in presentation and discussion depth, it could make a significant contribution to the field