

Review of: "Road Safety Perceptions and Practices among Undergraduate Medical Students"

Intan Zainafree¹

¹ Universitas Negeri Semarang

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

MANUSCRIPT EVALUATION FORM

Date	:	20 th March 2023
Manuscript ID	:	
Reviewer	:	Intan Zainafree, MD.,Dr
Title of Manuscript	:	Road Safety Perceptions and Practices among Undergraduate Medical Students

COMMENT SHEET

Evaluation Criteria	Grade				
	A (Excellent)	B	C	D	E (Worst)
1. Appropriateness of Contents		√			
1. Originality of Topic		√			
1. Manuscript Format			√		
1. Research Methodology			√		
1. Data Analysis			√		
1. Relevance to the Journal		√			

(AUTHOR'S SECTION)

(REVIEWER'S SECTION)		AUTHOR'S ACTION/RESPONSE
REVIEWER'S COMMENTS/SUGGESTIONS		*NOTE FOR AUTHOR: Please state your response to the reviewer's comments/suggestion below
1.	Title <i>It should reflect the article.</i>	<p>I think, in the title it is necessary to add the location or the name of the country. So, I suggest changing title to:</p> <p>"Road Safety Perceptions and Practices among Undergraduate Medical Students in India"</p>
1.	Abstract <i>Background, Aim, Methodology and Conclusion</i>	Please check the grammar used in each sentence.
1.	Keywords <i>Min. 3 and Max. 6</i>	No keywords.
1. a. The aim of the research is good, but how to generalize the results of this study?	Introduction <i>Concise with sufficient background</i>	a. It is necessary to add a more detailed explanation of the urgency of the research
1.	Materials and Methods <i>Clearly described and reproducible</i>	Questionnaire <p>a. Question items on the questionnaire sheet compiled independently? Or referring to a specific questionnaire guide?</p> <p>b. How is the validity and reliability of the questionnaire?</p> <p>c. What are the data collection techniques or methods?</p> <p>d. How to generalize the results of the data obtained?</p> Sample size <p>How to choose the number of samples?</p> Variables <p>a. Describe what perception and practice you have researched.</p> <p>b. How do you get information about each of these variables? Explain it.</p> Bias <p>a. Will there be potential bias in the research?</p> <p>b. How to overcome this bias?</p>
	Data Analysis	<p>a. Ineffective table presentation</p> <p>b. The main findings need to be highlighted as they can be</p>

1.	<i>Results well-presented and discussed.</i>	b. The main findings need to be highlighted so they can be clearly seen. c. The journals used for conducting discussions are not yet varied, referring more to local journals.
1.	Conclusion <i>A clear summary of the study</i>	No comment.
1.	Recommendations	No comment.
1.	References <i>References should follow the journal's format</i>	No comment.
1.	English Proficiency	Good.
1.	Additional comments/suggestions by the reviewer about the article	None.

OVERALL EVALUATION

Accept	√	Major Revision	√
Minor Revision		Reject	