

# Review of: "Healthcare Systems Moving Toward Data Governance-Centered Model: India's G20 Presidency in the Wake of COVID"

Timur Saliev<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Kazakh National Medical University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Paper:

"Healthcare Systems Moving Toward Data Governance- Centered Model: India's G20 Presidency in the Wake of COVID"

The paper provides an in-depth analysis of India's G20 Presidency in 2023 with a special focus on healthcare priorities and digital health. It discusses how India seeks to use its leadership role in shaping global health policy, especially in the wake of the COVID-19 pandemic. The article is rich in appropriate citations, touching on issues ranging from vaccine availability to data management.

Strengths of the paper:

Comprehensiveness: The document comprehensively covers India's health priorities during its G20 presidency, including issues such as vaccine distribution, data management and emergency preparedness.

Citations and references: The article is well cited, which ensures the reliability of the issues discussed.

Political Relevance: The focus on policy dialogue and health governance makes it highly relevant in the context of current global health issues.

Structure and clarity: The document is well structured, with clearly demarcated sections such as "Digital Health Priorities: Key Directions" and "Policy Dialogues" making it easy to understand.

Practical implications: The paper clearly identifies specific areas where India can make a meaningful contribution, such as promoting policy dialogue, technical assistance and training.

Weak sides:

Scope and Complexity: Although this article is comprehensive, it may be overwhelming for non-professionals unfamiliar with health policy or international relations.

Technological bias: The paper focuses on digital health and data management, but it would be useful to discuss traditional health systems as well, including primary health care (very briefly).

Lack of counterpoints: There is little discussion of potential problems or criticism that India may face in its leadership role, including the competition with regional powerful states such as China.

Incomplete output: The conclusion could have been more compelling if he had summarized the key points and offered a coherent view of India's future role on the world stage.

Technical jargon: The use of technical terms can be intimidating to readers who are not well versed in health policy or data management.

Suggestions for improvement:

Balance of points of view. Including counterarguments or questions can make the analysis more balanced and detailed.

Human factor: Including testimonials or case studies can make data and policy discussions more informative.

Graphic aids: I would suggest adding some charts, tables, and other visual aids can improve understanding.

Explanation of terms: A glossary or footnotes that clarify technical jargon can make the article more accessible.

Conclusion:

The article is a detailed and insightful exploration of India's focus on healthcare during its G20 Presidency. It contains substantive discussion of several critical areas, from digital health to emergency preparedness, but could benefit from a more balanced and accessible approach. Overall, it serves as a valuable resource for policy makers, researchers and health professionals interested in India's role in shaping global health governance.

I would recommend accepting the paper after minor revision.