

# Review of: "The Complexity of Leaving No One Behind: Unmasking and Emphasizing Multiple and Intersectional Marginalisation in India"

Nabila Amin<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Nanjing University of Science and Technology

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The authors tackled a relevant and timely topic. The article is well-written, interesting and contributes to the current literature, and the authors have provided detailed analysis and results supporting their work. However, some of the minor observations are as follows:

1. It is important to define clearly the conceptual definition of key variances. Please explain the reasons for selecting these countries.
2. Can you please explain in greater detail why you are implementing some of the modeling choices you present? You largely focus on describing what you do and do not elaborate on why you are making the relevant selections for the model.
3. I can imagine a reader would likely desire even more detail. I believe additional detail on implications for theory and application would be beneficial as well as more implications for future research.
4. More recent literature is needed to broaden the view of readers.
5. The implications can be broadened more widely and more internationally. The paper should be written from the international perspective rather than focusing on the issues of some countries.
6. The originality of the paper needs to be further clarified. The present form does not have sufficient results to justify the novelty of a high-quality journal paper.
7. The empirical findings are not discussed well. If these findings advocate the existing literature's outcome, then what is new?
8. The authors should format all the Tables with the Journal guidelines to remove the vertical and horizontal lines inside. This will make the Tables look nicer.
9. The discuss part is a little simple, the authors must further discuss your main finding rather than only presenting the findings here. If the authors need to further explore the influencing mechanism among variables? This will be very important for the readers.
10. The authors should add limitation of the study and future recommendation
11. The English language needs more work. There are many grammatical and typo mistakes in this manuscript. The paper needs to be edited by a native English speaker.
12. There are not enough references to the latest studies in the work. So, I recommend that you provide the following:

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-021-16648-7>

<https://doi.org/10.1080/13504509.2022.2059720>

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-022-21618-8>

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-022-22973-2>

<https://doi.org/10.1080/13504509.2023.2166142>

<https://doi.org/10.1177/0958305X221134113>