

# Review of: "Bell's theorem is an exercise in the statistical theory of causality"

Howard Wiseman<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Griffith University

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

The paper is a small contribution directed at a certain group of people who mistakenly believe that Bell's inequalities cannot be derived in the frameworks of a theory that is deterministic, local (In Bell's 1964 sense), but non-contextual. It is a potentially useful contribution but I agree with other reviewers that it requires more references, a clearer presentation in places, and also a conclusion. In addition I have these specific suggestions for improvement:

1. In paragraph 1, "The result" is ambiguous. The author means his result, but it could be read as meaning Kupczynski's "result".
2. A reference is needed for this passage: «"the probabilistic opposition" as a vociferous group of critics of Bell's theorem like to call themselves.»
3. What is a "quasi-deterministic fashion"? Is it deterministic or not? If not, in what sense does the term apply at all? Later in the same paragraph, "essentially deterministic," with the same question from me.
4. The  $\Lambda$  in  $\Lambda := (\Lambda, \Lambda, )$  should presumably be  $\Lambda$ .