

Review of: "Adoption of Machine Learning Methods for Crop Yield Prediction-based Smart Agriculture and Sustainable Growth of Crop Yield Production – Case Study in Jordan"

Rehmana Younis¹

¹ Robotics Engineering, Columbus State University, Columbus, United States

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

1. Summary of the Paper:

The paper presents an in-depth exploration of using machine learning (ML) techniques to predict crop yields in Jordan, aiming to support sustainable agricultural practices. It uses various ML regression models such as XGBoost, Random Forest, Lasso, and Multiple Linear Regression to predict crop production based on weather and crop yield data collected between 1999 and 2022. The study's objective is to help farmers and policymakers make informed decisions about agriculture and food security. The key focus is on improving the accuracy of yield predictions to ensure sustainable agricultural production in the face of challenges like climate change.

2. Major Contribution of the Work:

The major contribution of this study lies in its comprehensive application of multiple machine learning algorithms to a dataset covering a long period (1999–2022), allowing for the prediction of crop yields in Jordan. This integration of multiple models offers a significant improvement in prediction accuracy, with the paper highlighting the strong performance of models such as Random Forest and XGBoost. Another notable contribution is the development of a robust methodology for handling agricultural data, from preprocessing to model evaluation, offering valuable insights for real-world applications in smart agriculture.

3. Uniqueness of the Work:

The uniqueness of the proposed work is evident in its focus on Jordan's agricultural context, leveraging local datasets and addressing the unique climatic and environmental challenges of the region. The study also combines traditional statistical approaches with modern machine learning algorithms, which are tailored to handle the non-linear and complex interactions between weather, soil conditions, and crop yield. Compared to existing works, the paper stands out by applying a variety of regression models and presenting a detailed comparison of their performance using metrics such as MSE and R-squared, providing a broader understanding of which techniques are most effective.

4. Experimental Settings and Results Discussion:

The experimental setup is methodically detailed, involving data collection from two key sources (Jordan's Department of Statistics and the Climate Change Knowledge Portal), followed by preprocessing, exploratory data analysis (EDA), and splitting the dataset into training and test sets. Various ML models are trained and evaluated using multiple error metrics, including Mean Squared Error (MSE), Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE), and R-squared values.

The results indicate that the Random Forest and Lasso Regression models offer the most accurate predictions, with MSE values of 0.023 and 0.024, respectively, and R-squared values of 0.993 and 0.995. These results show that the models are highly capable of capturing the relationships between the input features and crop production. However, models like Elastic Net and SVM performed poorly in comparison, emphasizing the importance of selecting appropriate algorithms for agricultural yield predictions.

5. Positive and Negative Aspects of the Paper:

Positive Aspects:

Comprehensive Methodology: The study provides a detailed and thorough methodology, covering all key steps in the machine learning pipeline, from data collection and preprocessing to model training and evaluation.

Use of Multiple Algorithms: By applying and comparing several regression models, the paper offers valuable insights into the most effective techniques for crop yield prediction in the specific context of Jordan.

Clear Discussion of Results: The authors effectively present and discuss the results, using appropriate visualizations to support their claims about model performance.

Negative Aspects:

Limited Generalizability: While the study focuses on Jordan, the specificity of the dataset may limit the generalizability of the findings to other regions with different agricultural conditions or crops.

Over-reliance on Classical Metrics: Although the use of MSE and R-squared is standard, the paper could benefit from a broader discussion of alternative performance metrics that might capture the real-world implications of prediction errors more effectively, such as economic impacts or food security considerations.

Lack of Novelty in Algorithm Choice: Most of the algorithms used, such as Random Forest and XGBoost, are well-established. The study could explore more recent techniques like deep learning models or hybrid approaches for

better insights.

6. Conclusion and Recommendation:

In conclusion, this paper makes a significant contribution to the field of crop yield prediction by applying multiple machine learning algorithms to a real-world dataset from Jordan. The results are promising, with Random Forest and Lasso Regression emerging as the most effective models. However, the paper would benefit from further exploration of more advanced techniques and a discussion of how the findings could be applied beyond Jordan.

Recommended Revisions:

1. Clarify Data Preprocessing and Feature Engineering:

o Recommendation: While the paper provides an overview of the data preprocessing steps, it lacks detail on how specific transformations (e.g., smart and log transformations) impact model performance. The authors should provide a more in-depth explanation of the rationale behind these transformations and their effect on the models.

o Improvement: Include a section that discusses the importance of these preprocessing techniques and compares model performance with and without them to highlight their significance.

2. Enhance the Discussion on Model Selection:

o Recommendation: The selection of algorithms like Random Forest, XGBoost, and Lasso Regression is justified by their performance in similar tasks. However, the paper could benefit from a discussion of why certain models, like Support Vector Machine (SVM) and ElasticNet, underperformed. Additionally, the use of deep learning models like Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) or Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs) for time-series data could be explored.

o Improvement: Expand the model selection discussion to explain why some models were less effective, and consider recommending additional or more recent models to explore (e.g., hybrid models or deep learning approaches).

3. Improve Generalizability and Broaden Scope:

o Recommendation: The study is focused on a specific region (Jordan) and crop types, which limits its broader applicability. The authors should discuss how the model and its findings could be adapted or generalized to other regions with different environmental and agricultural conditions.

o Improvement: Add a section on the potential applicability of the model to

different geographies or other crops, outlining the challenges and modifications required to ensure model scalability.

4. Incorporate More Advanced Performance Metrics:

o Recommendation: The paper uses standard metrics (MSE, RMSE, R-squared), but these may not fully capture the real-world implications of the models' predictions, especially in terms of agricultural economics and food

security. Introducing metrics such as Mean Absolute Percentage Error (MAPE) or metrics related to economic outcomes would add value.

o Improvement: Discuss the potential implications of prediction errors in an agricultural context, and consider including additional performance metrics that focus on the economic or practical impacts of inaccurate predictions (e.g., yield losses, financial costs).

5. Address Real-World Application and Interpretability:

o Recommendation: The paper touches on the potential policy applications but could delve deeper into how farmers or policymakers can use the outputs of the model. Additionally, a discussion of model interpretability (especially for complex models like XGBoost) would be helpful.

o Improvement: Add a section on the real-world application of the model's results, focusing on how farmers or policymakers could integrate these predictions into their decision-making. Discuss techniques such as feature importance analysis or SHAP values to improve interpretability for non-technical stakeholders.

6. Provide More Insight into Future Work:

o Recommendation: The future work section mentions the potential for IoT and remote sensing, but the paper does not clearly outline how these technologies could be integrated with the current model.

o Improvement: Offer more specific ideas for integrating IoT, remote sensing, and real-time data into the crop yield prediction models, with potential research directions or pilot studies outlined.

7. Expand the Literature Review:

o Recommendation: The literature review could be expanded to include more recent studies on machine learning in agriculture, especially those using deep learning or hybrid models for yield prediction.

o Improvement: Include a more comprehensive review of recent advancements in crop yield prediction, emphasizing deep learning

techniques or hybrid approaches, and how these might compare with the models used in this study.

8. Incorporate Visualizations and Comparative Analysis:

o Recommendation: While the paper includes some visualizations, additional graphical comparisons of model performance would help

readers easily grasp the results. A comparative table or graph showing model performance across all metrics for each algorithm would be helpful.

o Improvement: Enhance the presentation of the results with more comparative visualizations (e.g., bar charts, heatmaps) to visually represent the differences in model performance across various metrics (MSE, RMSE, R-squared, etc.).

By addressing these revisions, the paper would not only improve in clarity and detail but also enhance its impact and relevance to the broader agricultural and machine learning communities.

Recommendation: I would recommend this paper for publication with minor revisions.

The experimental results are robust, but the paper could enhance its impact by addressing the limitations in generalizability and considering additional, more innovative ML techniques for future work.