

Review of: "Influences of Crop Geometry and Nitrogen Application on Growth, Yield, Fodder Value, and Quality of Baby Corn: A Review"

Akwasi Yeboah¹

¹ University of Florida

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The authors should avoid using shorthand expressions and should correct these instances throughout the manuscript. For example, "don't" should be changed to its full form, such as "do not."

I do not understand why the authors are using quotation marks; please remove them, as they are not necessary. For example, in this regard, Srikanth et al. [45] reported that "the crop geometry of 60×20 cm resulted in taller corn plants, whereas 75×20 cm of space resulted in plants with more leaves per plant, stem girth, leaf area index, dry matter output, and yield."

The review lacks novelty; the authors merely reported studies from different researchers without providing their own explanations or input. The purpose of a review is to offer a comprehensive view of the area of study, identify gaps, and suggest future research to address these gaps. Therefore, I recommend that the authors restructure their review, reduce the number of studies reported from different researchers, and provide insights into the gaps and potential research directions. This is especially important under the subtopic: 3. Effect of Nitrogen Fertilizer on Growth, Yield, and Quality of Baby Corn and its Fodder.

Please provide a reference for Table 3.

I recommend that the authors provide future perspectives.