

Review of: "Financial Repercussions of Carbon Emissions and Depletion of Natural Resources: The Role of Fiscal Policy in Determining Health Expenditures"

Ulas Akkucuk¹

¹ Bogazici University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

One of the things I would change is the lengthy title. It has currently 19 words. And from the conclusions stated in the abstract and the conclusion sections a title which goes like "The role of indirect taxation on public health expenditures: A panel data analysis" would be much more appropriate. I think the other major problem is the scope of the analysis which is claimed to contain 187 countries' data. With so many countries it would be difficult to come to a healthy conclusion let alone let the readers know which countries are included. It would be more appropriate to focus on a narrow list of countries.

Another problem with the text especially on page four is that many facts are presented without references. One example is "Sixteen percent of the world's population lives in high-income countries...The remaining 84 percent of the population does not have access to the facilities available ..." It is not clear which facilities these are and in any case we need references for most of the statements on page four.

This sentence needs a clarification "However, there are no regulations requiring borrowers to specify their "green" intentions in writing" also the relationship of the section on green financing to the main topic of the research study should be emphasized.

In the implications section it is stated that "The role of fiscal and monetary policies becomes important in reducing the cost of health insurance and health expenditures" what is the implication here for Government fiscal policy? Based on the abstract as well I would say **reducing** reliance on **indirect** taxes would be a very important implication of the work.