Research Article

An Explanation for Dreaming Based on Neuronal Maintenance

Bjørn Grinde¹

1. University of Oslo, Norway

Nighttime dreams are an interesting phenomenon. Investigations have moved from attempts to interpret their content to seeking a biological function. In both cases, it has proven difficult to obtain convincing answers. I shall argue that dreams are the consequence of a requirement for sustained activity in nervous systems. That is, regular firing of signals is necessary for neuronal development and maintenance. Dreams result from this principle being applied to circuits involved in the generation of conscious experiences. The brain is prone to create a form of sense out of neurological activity, I hypothesize that this mechanism contributes to the story-like quality of dreams. The lack of cognitive (or top-down) control explains their bizarreness. The activity would be expected to be partly arbitrary and partly converge on prominent circuits such as those commonly or recently used. Circuits are strengthened by activation, dreams can therefore contribute to memory consolidation and learning.

Corresponding author: Bjørn Grinde, grinde10@hotmail.com

Introduction

Dreaming is a strange phenomenon that has eluded a natural account and has consequently led to a variety of proposals. Ancient attempts considered dreams to reflect contact with spiritual entities. Late in the 19th century, the focus shifted to regard dreams as a means to expose and manage the unconscious mind, exemplified by the psychoanalytical approach of Freud and Jung. Jung considered dreams to be fragments of involuntary psychic activity sufficiently conscious to be recalled in the waking state ^[1]. Most people still believe that dreams reveal meaningful, hidden truths ^[2]; however, as a guide to the unconscious, they are at best bewildering.

In recent times, the focus has shifted toward biological function and neurological correlates.

Dreams can be described as a succession of spontaneously generated images, ideas, feelings, and sensations that occur during sleep. Each dream may last from a few seconds to several minutes; combined, they occupy a substantial fraction of the night ^[3]. Dreams are primarily associated with REM (rapid eye movement) sleep; the activity of the brain is then remarkably similar to the awake state. They occur frequently in non-REM (NREM) sleep as well, but these dreams are less vivid and storylike. Most dreams are probably not recognized by the dreamer ^[4]. It helps if the person is woken up at the right moment, but even then, the dream may not be recalled.

The scientific approach to understanding the function of dreams, oneirology, has proposed several explanations, but none of them are entirely convincing. For example, one suggestion is that dreams can boost creativity and help us solve problems ^[5]. The unconscious brain sometimes comes up with solutions where the conscious mind struggles. In the daytime, we talk about intuition; at night, a similar process may lead to inspiring dreams. But dreams are evanescent ^[3], thus most of the energy used for dreaming would be wasted. Moreover, the awake form of intuition is likely more to the point. Others have suggested that dreams help with emotional regulation and mental housekeeping ^[6], but it is not obvious how that works. The bizarre and hallucinatory quality of dreams does not align with any well-defined function. If they were to serve these purposes, at best they would represent an awkward design.

One of the functions proposed stands out as more substantiated. Sleep is important for memory consolidation and learning ^{[7][8]}, dreams may serve the same purpose ^[9]. According to the hypothesis I present below, dreams did not evolve for a particular purpose but are primarily a byproduct of neuronal development and maintenance (the two are combined under the term *maintenance*). An effect on memory and learning would then be a secondary outcome.

The search for explanations is part of human nature. The feature presumably evolved for the purpose of understanding the environment – as that form of insight improved the chance of survival. When there is no obvious explanation to be found, the urge may lead to myths, religious constructs, or pet ideas. The human tendency to *seek* explanations offers a clue as to why REM dreams expand in the direction of stories.

The neuronal maintenance hypothesis is based on an evolutionary perspective. I shall start by providing some background for this approach.

Background

Brain modules and brain exercise

The brain can be described as a set of functions or modules that were added and continuously adapted by evolution, starting with the early nervous system some 600 million years ago (Mya) ^[10]. Each module consists of a set of core neuronal circuits that may include millions or billions of neurons ^[11]. The concept of modules helps us understand the brain, even though it is not neatly organized, but rather a mesh of activity. One should keep in mind that evolution does not produce optimally designed organisms; sometimes its products are strange and imperfect.

Consciousness is a feature, or module, of certain advanced nervous systems; it allows individuals to experience select aspects of life ^{[10][12]}. The nonconscious brain typically turns it on in the morning and off again at night, but it may also linger in an in-between state. Your experiences are based on a process referred to as broadcasting, which takes some 300 ms ^[13]. Several modules produce information to be included in each moment of experience (Figure 1). The broadcasting implies that the information fuses to form 'one frame in the film of life'. The neurological signature of daytime experiences resembles the activity seen during REM sleep, which suggests that the relevant neurology can be activated in the absence of actually experiencing anything ^[14]. The observation that dreams sometimes reach your awareness implies that the broadcasting takes place, but that you may or may not be 'turned sufficiently on' to register the content. I consider REM sleep, and the accompanying dreams, to reflect a state different from consciousness. One key difference is that you are not in a position to impact dreams even if you become aware of them. Lucid dreaming is a state in-between dream sleep and regular consciousness^[16].

The brain is set to develop in accordance with a combination of innate directives and environmental impact, the latter may be roughly equated with experience. Regular activation of a module tends to strengthen the responsible neuronal circuits, primarily be refining the synaptic wiring diagrams, while circuits that are not used tend to degenerate ^{[15][16][17]}. In extreme cases, as with people kept alive in a vegetative state, the whole brain can shrink to a fraction of its volume. I expect the 'exercise' effect obtained by activating circuits to occur whether the subject is awake or asleep.



Figure 1. Modules and consciousness. Consciousness can be construed as the surface of the 'sea of brain activity' (A). Conscious content starts as neurological activity in the nonconscious or subconscious depths; the product of this activity is 'packed in bubbles'. It takes some 300 milliseconds for the bubbles to reach the surface, a process that has been referred to as broadcasting. Some bubbles may disappear in transit, but those that go all the way fuse to form the surface layer – which is your moment of conscious experience. The various modules (circles in B) belong primarily to the nonconscious brain and are responsible for creating the bubbles. The curvy lines indicate that there are 'paths' that lead from your conscious life to several of these modules; consequently, you can impact (more or less decisively) on the sort of experiences you have. Modules that are regularly engaged will expand and tend to produce more bubbles. (Adapted from ^[18])

Energetic considerations

The brain is an expensive organ to operate, requiring 20 % of the energy while constituting only 2 % of your body. A substantial part of the energy is used by the ionic pumps that maintain the electrical potential across the (axonal and dendritic) membranes^[19]. Each time a neuron fires, there needs to be considerable activity in these pumps. The brain requires an equal amount of energy when in REM sleep as when awake, while the expenditure is down to 80 % in NREM sleep^[20]. During anesthesia, the consumption may be down to 60-70 %^[21] – suggesting that even in NREM stages, the brain is more active than what is required to sustain life. Evolution is assumed to be prudent regarding the use of energy; thus, the high level of night-time activity is a surprising observation for which there ought to be a rational explanation.

One difference between sleep and anesthesia is that sensory processing is turned off in the latter. You wake up if sensory input indicates danger while asleep but not when anesthetized. Yet, the apparent activation of consciousness-like activity in REM sleep should not be required to maintain vigilance.

The sleep-wake cycle of mammals evolved from the inactive-active transition in nonconscious animals. It has been assumed that a key role of the inactive stage is to conserve energy^[22]. In the case of mammals, there is a reduced use of muscles, so some energy is saved, but the expenditure of the brain is noteworthy.

Neurology of dreams

According to Vitali et al.^[23], periods associated with dreaming typically correlate with changes in the activity of the posterior regions of the cortex. Here, there is an increase in high-frequency (beta/gamma) activity and a simultaneous decrease in slower (delta) activity. This is the case for both REM and NREM stages, but REM dreams also engage the occipital cortex. The authors suggest that the occipital activity explains the more perceptually complex and vivid dreams. They find a similar distinction in the frontal cortex, where in NREM sleep, the activity decreases, while particularly alpha-theta activity increases in REM sleep. The frontal cortex difference could be related to the addition of the emotional and story-like qualities of REM dreams.

The above observations are in line with current ideas as to brain correlates of consciousness; the frontal part is more engaged with cognitive and emotional functions, while the posterior part is more central to the actual broadcasting^[24]. Other observations corroborate the idea that REM dreams use the same brain resources as awake experiences^{[14][25]}; for example, half the dreams include faces and consequently activate cortical areas associated with face recognition.

In NREM sleep, there are subtle differences in brain activity, as measured by EEG, between periods with dream content and those without^[26], perhaps reflecting whether the dream touches the broadcasting circuitry. REM sleep seems more homogenous in terms of EEG, which may indicate that dreams are the norm (in healthy adults), whether the person can recall them or not.

Neuronal maintenance hypothesis

Why REM sleep?

All active (non-dormant) cells require maintenance to remain healthy; that is, to preserve cellular integrity, repair damage, and sustain essential functions. Organic molecules are unstable in a world with oxygen, which means that damaged components need to be removed and replaced. This is particularly relevant for proteins and other macromolecules, as these are meant to function for an extended period.

Autophagy is a key pathway for cellular maintenance^[27], if ablated in neurons, it leads to neurodegeneration^[28].

It seems likely that cells need to engage in tasks they are designed for in order to detect components that are malfunctioning. The key function of neurons is to fire signals. To maintain performance, there should be occasional firing. Moreover, these cells are meant to last a lifetime, and may therefore require more maintenance compared to renewable cells^[27]. At night, many circuits are free from other tasks, which means it is a suitable time for maintenance. The drainage of cerebrospinal fluid by glymphatic and lymphatic systems is associated with waste clearance^[29]; as expected, the flux is higher during the night^[30]. The process of aging is considered to reflect a balance between putting resources into either maintenance or reproduction^[31]. In long-lived species such as mammals, more resources are allocated to preservation. In short, the brain's consumption of energy at night is consistent with a requirement for extensive care.

The periodic alternation between REM and NREM sleep suggests that different parts of the brain take turns in being the focus of maintenance. NREM is 20 % cheaper to operate, thus there is also an economic incentive for not spending the entire night in REM. The REM periods presumably mean maintenance of circuits involved in conscious processing – and are therefore likely to produce 'experiences' – while the NREM periods target other circuits. If the purpose is maintenance, the 'experiences' do not need to be brought to awareness.

True dreams with story and vitality may not occur until age six or older^[32], and even in adults, most dreams are not consciously recognized^{[4][14]}. These observations imply that whatever function dreams have, it does not require awareness of content. The purpose seems to be the activation of circuits involved in consciousness rather than the generation of dreams. The point is corroborated by a study on focal lesions in the forebrain that incapacitate dreaming in adults (at least the ability to recall content) even though REM sleep is retained, and the persons do not seem to otherwise suffer from the lesions^[33].

Neuronal activity is expected to be required not only for maintenance but also for development. Sleep, and particularly the REM stage, serves a crucial role in brain maturation^[23], which explains why the fetus has the highest level and children have more REM sleep than adults. Presumably, they need to develop the neuronal machinery for consciousness. The notion is supported by the observation that the trajectory of REM activity reflects critical periods of brain maturation^[34].

Development and maintenance are related processes. The brain needs to constantly adapt, so maintenance does not simply imply retaining the status quo. The focus likely shifts from development to maintenance as we grow up, but the need for nighttime activity is still there. The shift is accompanied by a decrease in the time spent sleeping.

A steady level of activity appears to be the norm for the brain. Whereas muscles can be left inactive for relatively long periods without harm, it seems that nervous systems cannot. The general principle that organs strengthen upon use and degenerate in its absence applies to both, but the brain is likely more vulnerable to periods of inactivity. It is well known that prolonged general anesthesia can have negative effects, particularly in the young and the elderly. These effects are typically ascribed to factors such as hypoxia, inflammation, and toxicity, but they could also reflect the suppression of activity.

Other observations are compatible with the idea that neurons need to fire regularly. Even in a resting, awake brain, neurons exhibit spontaneous activity in the form of globally coordinated, regular firing ^[35]. Consciousness is associated with an additional, less synchronized, and higher frequency firing. Meditators try to restrict the generation of conscious content to enter a more relaxed state; however, reaching this 'minimal' consciousness is difficult. The brain is prone to generate conscious content in the form of insignificant thoughts or percepts that distract the meditator. In other words, what appears to be superfluous commotions take place both day and night. This is expected if maintenance cannot be limited to certain periods. The brain pushes all sorts of 'unrequested' thoughts in the daytime too, but these are under partly conscious control and therefore less bizarre. Nighttime maintenance is not necessarily more important than what goes on during the day, it suffices to postulate that daytime maintenance is insufficient.

Why dreams?

Even if nighttime brain activity reflects maintenance, it is not obvious why it should result in dreams. The expected outcome from targeting circuits involved in broadcasting would be random percepts. NREM dreams are more like that, but REM dreams typically include stories that last several minutes.

One explanation could be that maintenance requires the generation of stories; there needs to be a consecutive activation of related circuits, as this is typical for how neuronal circuits function. A perhaps more plausible explanation rests on the human propensity to find explanations, as mentioned in the Introduction. There appears to be a mechanism associated with broadcasting that tries to generate sense out of sparse and incoherent information. The same feature may be at work when dreaming, the brain

spins a story based on the signaling taking place. In short, an 'internal interpreter' forms dreams because it tries to find meaning in the commotion of signals. One scene may give rise to the next because the interpreter sets up expectations as to what is coming. The lack of vivid dreams in children may be because their internal interpreter is still developing.

The brain's interpreter is not just about explanations; when awake, it deciphers sensory information to form a coherent picture. Part of the job is pattern recognition, how objects are understood based on limited input; for example, a circle with two dots is enough to 'see' a face. The interpreter is part of the nonconscious brain and should operate while asleep for vigilance; that is, sensory information is constantly processed and evaluated as to potential danger.

The mesh of connectivity in the brain suggests that activity in one part can lead to neuronal firing in other parts – including the extensive circuits of the forebrain engaged in consciousness. In NREM sleep, the circuitry involved in broadcasting is activated to a lesser extent, and the interpreter is consequently not engaged.

Dreams use the same circuitry as when awake ^[14], which helps explain why they can be experienced and recalled upon waking up as long as the sleep is sufficiently 'shallow'. Recall is more likely if the dreams are salient, as when they involve strong feelings ^[36]. The capacity to recall could depend either on how 'shallow' the sleep is, on the capacity for short-term memory, or on the ability to direct attention to something that has already taken place (this ability is discussed in ^[37]).

Dream content

If the starting point for dreams is random activity in consciousness-generating circuits, one would expect the content to be arbitrary. This is not entirely the case, dreams are biased in three ways ^{[4][14][23]}. They tend to reflect, for one, daytime activity; two, important circuitry; and three, sensory input while dreaming. For example, sex is highly important for genes and a common topic of dreams ^[38]. The three biases could signify circuits that are more easily activated, but there could also be a priority in the direction of maintaining salient circuits. Beyond these biases, there does not seem to be a plan behind a dream. The point is substantiated by the observation that rather than acting out a dream, sleepwalkers appear to incorporate sensory input as the dream unfolds ^[32].

Response to external stimuli during sleep may be expected as the brain is processing (and potentially responding to) sensory input – particularly sounds, touch, and smell – regardless of sleep state. For

example, touching the leg can induce a dream about running ^[39]. Visual content tends to dominate in dreams (except for people with congenital blindness) due to the importance of vision ^[40], but perhaps also because circuits involved in visual processing are otherwise idle as a consequence of closed eyes. Maintenance does not interrupt anything if the circuits involved are resting.

Dreams reflect what is referred to as primary consciousness; they are about perceptions and feelings, while they have limited access to secondary consciousness, which includes self-awareness and metacognition $\frac{[14]}{}$. This is to be expected as they are initiated and driven by the nonconscious. It seems likely that secondary functions require 'real' consciousness, while primary functions can be engaged without. Even in the daytime, the response to sensory input and feelings does not require awareness (see $\frac{[10]}{}$ for a discussion). If the higher cognitive functions were deemed important by the nonconscious during sleep, the person would presumably wake up. As long as they are operated by the nonconscious, dreams do not require any cognitive effort – unlike daydreaming.

Both noticeable recent events and long-standing mental issues can impact dream content. We live in a world with a high level of anxiety, as witnessed by the prevalence of anxiety disorders ^[41]; unsurprisingly, anxiety seems to be the most common feeling experienced in dreams ^[42]. Fear in dreams activates the same brain resources as when awake ^[43]. If hyperactivated at night, they result in nightmares, which are common for people with posttraumatic stress disorder or other forms of stress and anxiety ^{[4][23]}.

Dreams as memory consolidation and learning

As pointed out above, activity in a circuit tends to strengthen that circuit, which means it is possible to 'exercise' circuits by activating them regularly. Evolution has installed features that urge the individual to do so for the sake of learning. Play behavior is one example; another is the 'learning window' for language acquisition. Dreams could fit a similar purpose.

There are several indications suggesting that sleep helps with memory and learning (or that lack of sleep is bad for these functions) ^{[7][8]}. The exercise effect is expected to take place regardless of whether one is conscious or not – a night with a high level of activity should leave an impact. For example, hippocampal sharp-wave ripples during deep (NREM) sleep in rats most likely imply a 'replay', for the purpose of memory consolidation ^{[44][45]}. In this case, the activated circuits are related to spatial memory, which means the replay helps the animals find their way. This form of memory is probably nonconscious, which fits with the idea that deep sleep is used for exercising nonconscious circuits.

The observation that dreams are influenced by activity before falling asleep and sensory input during sleep means it is possible to sway the content in a desired direction ^{[4][39]}. For example, a pleasant odor causes people to report happier dreams, and recurring nightmares can be alleviated by repeatedly thinking through alternative endings before going to bed.

It has been suggested that the activation of fear in dreams (and the resulting nightmares) serves to simulate threats $\frac{[46]}{}$. The idea is that in a safe environment, the simulation could help the person prepare for real-life dangers. Based on the maintenance model of dreaming, this seems unlikely. The activation of fear is expected to strengthen the responsible circuits, but the result should be an increase in anxiety problems rather than improved coping $\frac{[47]}{}$. If so, nightmares are not biologically adaptive but a consequence of less-than-ideal evolutionary design combined with the present prevalence of anxiety.

Although dreams may have a positive impact on the mind, it does not mean that this is their primary function. The bizarre and unpredictable nature of dreams does not quite fit that notion. The limited effect in a positive direction and the indications that the effect may be negative, as in the case of nightmares, further contradict the idea that dreams evolved to serve memory and learning. It seems more likely that the initial rationale was maintenance, but that the activity either simply happened to help in certain ways or evolved in a direction that enhanced positive outcomes. If so, a positive effect on memory and learning is best described as an exaptation ^[48].

Comparison with other species

I have previously argued that some form of consciousness is present in amniotes (reptiles, birds, and mammals) but not in other animals ^{[10][12]}. I expect dreams to be restricted to conscious animals. REM-type activity is obvious in mammals and birds ^[49], and there are signs of similar EEG patterns in reptiles ^[50]. The point that REM activity often employs salient circuits is observed in both mammals and birds; for example, pigeons activate neurology associated with the control of flying ^[49].

The activation of circuits associated with daytime pursuits does not necessarily imply experiencing – or mentating in any other form – the activity. As pointed out above, fetuses and infants display REM sleep but, at least fetuses, may not have true dreams or experiences. Yet, it seems likely that most adult mammals have some form of dreams ^[51]. Aquatic species such as cetaceans could be an exception. They typically sleep with one half of the brain at a time, and it seems difficult to imagine how they can have an

awake experience based on the active half and a simultaneous dream experience based on the other. That is to say, it seems unlikely that REM activity leads to mentation.

As expected, neuronal activity is present in invertebrates during sleep/inactivity and has, in some cases, been considered a sign of consciousness. For example, octopuses have 'active' and less active stages of sleep somewhat similar to REM and NREM [52][53]. In the active stage, they sometimes appear to act out a dream; they display behavior, such as changes in skin patterning and defense reactions, reminiscent of sleepwalking in humans. The behavior is based on the activation of neuronal circuits used for similar daytime pursuits.

Octopuses split with mammals while nervous systems were still rudimentary ^[54], the resemblance of advanced functions in these two lineages should therefore be based on convergent evolution. Convergent evolution of consciousness and dreams seems unlikely ^{[10][12]}, but the shared characteristics of neurons ^{[54][55]} suggest that all animals require related activity for development and maintenance. In the more advanced nervous systems, such as those of octopuses and mammals, nighttime maintenance could converge on engaging alternating parts of the brains. In both cases, one would expect that the maintenance focuses on important neuronal circuits; in the case of the octopus, that would include skin patterning and defense reactions. The observed behavior does not prove any form of mentation.

Conclusions

It has proven difficult to find a reasonable function for dreams. One problem is that their bizarre and haphazard nature does not fit – if they evolved for a particular purpose, one would expect a better design. On the other hand, the idea that they are accidental byproducts of neuronal maintenance seems to harmonize with the available data. To what extent the activity is mentated in the form of dreaming may differ between species. One might expect that at least other mammals can experience dreams but perhaps less vivid and narrative. Storylike dreams presumably require a sophisticated 'internal interpreter'.

NREM dreaming can be explained by postulating that brain activity tends to 'leak' in the direction of circuits involved in broadcasting. The observation that these dreams are less elaborate could reflect that the broadcasting circuits are only activated indirectly and thus do not engage the interpreter to the same extent.

It would be expected that the maintenance, and consequently the dreams formed, focus on salient circuits. Dreams may therefore both improve memory and reflect personality. Thus, even if these are not their primary functions, they can be used for learning and (to a limited extent) probing the subconscious mind. As to the latter, frequent nightmares, for example, suggest an anxiety-prone personality.

To retain a functional brain, neurological circuits need to adapt, develop, and maintain structural/functional health. These processes presumably require neuronal firing and include the formation and pruning of neuronal connections ^[11]. Although the processes are active during the day, they also need nighttime commitment. The nightly activity can lead to dreams when circuits involved in consciousness are engaged. The dream percepts form stories because an 'internal interpreter' seizes the ongoing action and directs it toward something more 'sensible'.

Even in the healthy brain of an adult, there is probably a lot of redundant firing both day and night, but the brain serves enough functional activity to keep the individual alive. Sometimes the more chaotic form of commotion may start to dominate – a situation that might help explain conditions such as psychosis. As pointed out by Limosani et al. ^[56], dreams resemble psychosis in that both are driven by haphazard firing. The bizarreness in both cases may be due to deregulation or skewing of the process responsible for generating (broadcasting) conscious experiences. In dreaming, the process is *not* meant to be 'on track'; while in psychosis, something, perhaps the top-down cognitive control, is flawed.

More information is needed to test if the present hypothesis is correct. Further research on neuronal maintenance might be useful. It should also be possible to block nighttime activity by various anesthetic agents in mammalian model animals and determine the effect on neuronal health.

About the Author

Bjørn Grinde Professor Emeritus, University of Oslo, 0313 Oslo, Norway. ORCID iD: <u>0000-0003-1216-2851</u> https://grinde.one/

Statements and Declarations

Funding

None.

Conflicts of interest

None.

Data availability

No new data were generated or analyzed in support of this research.

References

- 1. [^]Jung CG (2010). Dreams: From Volumes 4, 8, 12, and 16 of the Collected Works of CG Jung. Princeton: Princet on University Press.
- 2. [^]Morewedge CK, Norton MI (2009). "When dreaming is believing: The (motivated) interpretation of dream s." Journal of Personality and Social Psychology. 96(2):249.
- 3. ^{a, b}Simor P, Peigneux P, Bódizs R (2023). "Sleep and dreaming in the light of reactive and predictive homeos tasis." Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews. 147:105104.
- 4. ^{a, b, c, d, e}Scarpelli S, Alfonsi V, Gorgoni M, De Gennaro L (2022). "What about dreams? State of the art and o pen questions." Journal of Sleep Research. 31(4):e13609.
- 5. [^]Barrett D (2017). "Dreams and creative problem-solving." Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences. 14 06(1):64–7.
- 6. [^]Goldstein AN, Walker MP (2014). "The role of sleep in emotional brain function." Annual Review of Clinical Psychology. 10:679–708.
- 7. ^a, ^bDiekelmann S, Born J (2010). "The memory function of sleep." Nature Reviews Neuroscience. 11(2):114–2
 6.
- 8. ^{a, b}Girardeau G, Lopes-dos-Santos V (2021). "Brain neural patterns and the memory function of sleep." Scien ce. 374(6567):560–4.
- 9. [^]Cipolli C, Fagioli I, Mazzetti M, Tuozzi G (2004). "Incorporation of presleep stimuli into dream contents: ev idence for a consolidation effect on declarative knowledge during REM sleep?" Journal of Sleep Research. 13

(4):317–26.

- 10. ^{a, b, c, d, e}Grinde B (2023). "Consciousness: A strategy for behavioral decisions." Encyclopedia. 3(1):60–76.
- 11. ^{a. <u>b</u>}Luo L (2021). "Architectures of neuronal circuits." Science. 373(6559):eabg7285.
- 12. ^{a, b, c}Grinde B (2024). "Consciousness makes sense in the light of evolution." Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews. 164:105824.
- [^]Mashour GA, Roelfsema P, Changeux J-P, Dehaene S (2020). "Conscious processing and the global neurona l workspace hypothesis." Neuron. 105(5):776–98.
- 14. ^{a, b, c, d, e, f, g}Mutz J, Javadi A-H (2017). "Exploring the neural correlates of dream phenomenology and altere d states of consciousness during sleep." Neuroscience of Consciousness. 2017(1):nix009.
- 15. $\stackrel{\wedge}{-}$ Hensch TK (1999). "Whisking away space in the brain." Neuron. 24(3):492–3.
- 16. [△]López-González A, Panda R, Ponce-Alvarez A, Zamora-López G, Escrichs A, Martial C, et al. (2021). "Loss o f consciousness reduces the stability of brain hubs and the heterogeneity of brain dynamics." Communicati ons Biology. 4(1):1037.
- 17. [^]Pascual-Leone A, Amedi A, Fregni F, Merabet LB (2005). "The plastic human brain cortex." Annual Review of Neuroscience. 28:377–401.
- 18. [△]Grinde B (2016). The Evolution of Consciousness: Implications for Mental Health and Quality of Life. Switz erland: Springer.
- ^ARae CD, Baur JA, Borges K, Dienel G, Díaz-García CM, Douglass SR, et al. (2024). "Brain energy metabolism: A roadmap for future research." Journal of Neurochemistry. 168:1–45.
- 20. [^]DiNuzzo M, Nedergaard M (2017). "Brain energetics during the sleep–wake cycle." Current Opinion in Neu robiology. 47:65–72.
- 21. [△]Laaksonen L, Kallioinen M, Långsjö J, Laitio T, Scheinin A, Scheinin J, et al. (2018). "Comparative effects of dexmedetomidine, propofol, sevoflurane, and S-ketamine on regional cerebral glucose metabolism in huma ns: a positron emission tomography study." British Journal of Anaesthesia. 121(1):281–90.
- 22. [△]Siegel JM (2011). "Sleep in animals: a state of adaptive inactivity." Principles and Practice of Sleep Medicin
 e. 5:126–38.
- 23. ^{a, b, c, d}Vitali H, Campus C, De Giorgis V, Signorini S, Gori M (2022). "The vision of dreams: from ontogeny to dream engineering in blindness." Journal of Clinical Sleep Medicine. 18(8):2051–62.
- 24. ^ATononi G, Boly M, Cirelli C (2024). "Consciousness and sleep." Neuron. 112(10):1568–94.

- 25. [△]Siclari F, Baird B, Perogamvros L, Bernardi G, LaRocque JJ, Riedner B, et al. (2017). "The neural correlates of dreaming." Nature Neuroscience. 20(6):872–8.
- 26. [△]Bréchet L, Brunet D, Perogamvros L, Tononi G, Michel CM (2020). "EEG microstates of dreams." Scientific R eports. 10(1):17069.
- 27. ^{a, b}Stavoe AK, Holzbaur EL (2019). "Autophagy in neurons." Annual Review of Cell and Developmental Biol ogy. 35(1):477–500.
- 28. [△]Komatsu M, Waguri S, Chiba T, Murata S, Iwata J-i, Tanida I, et al. (2006). "Loss of autophagy in the centra l nervous system causes neurodegeneration in mice." Nature. 441(7095):880–4.
- 29. ^AKipnis J (2024). "The anatomy of brainwashing." Science. 385(6707):368–70.
- 30. [^]Xie L, Kang H, Xu Q, Chen MJ, Liao Y, Thiyagarajan M, et al. (2013). "Sleep drives metabolite clearance from the adult brain." Science. 342(6156):373–7.
- 31. [△]Holliday R (2006). "Aging is no longer an unsolved problem in biology." Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences. 1067(1):1–9.
- 32. ^{a, b}Foulkes D (2009). Children's dreaming and the development of consciousness. Harvard: Harvard Univers ity Press.
- ^ASolms M (2000). "Dreaming and REM sleep are controlled by different brain mechanisms." Behavioral an d Brain Sciences. 23(6):843–50.
- 34. [△]Grigg-Damberger MM (2017). "Ontogeny of sleep and its functions in infancy, childhood, and adolescenc
 e." In: Nevsimalova S, Bruni O, editors. Sleep Disorders in Children. Switzerland: Springer. p. 3–29.
- 35. [△]Liu X, Leopold DA, Yang Y (2021). "Single-neuron firing cascades underlie global spontaneous brain event s." Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 118(47):e2105395118.
- 36. [△]Watson D (2003). "To dream, perchance to remember: Individual differences in dream recall." Personality and Individual Differences. 34(7):1271–86.
- 37. [^]Thibault L, Van den Berg R, Cavanagh P, Sergent C (2016). "Retrospective attention gates discrete consciou s access to past sensory stimuli." PloS One. 11(2):e0148504.
- 38. [△]Hmidan A, Weaver AD (2019). "Sex dreams: Gender, erotophilia, and sociosexuality as predictors of conten t, valence, and frequency." The Canadian Journal of Human Sexuality. 28(2):177–89.
- 39. ^{a, b}Carr M, Haar A, Amores J, Lopes P, Bernal G, Vega T, et al. (2020). "Dream engineering: Simulating worlds through sensory stimulation." Consciousness and Cognition. 83:102955.

- 40. [^]Burton EJ, Barber R, Mukaetova-Ladinska EB, Robson J, Perry RH, Jaros E, et al. (2009). "Medial temporal l obe atrophy on MRI differentiates Alzheimer's disease from dementia with Lewy bodies and vascular cogni tive impairment: a prospective study with pathological verification of diagnosis." Brain. 132(Pt 1):195–203.
- 41. [△]Wittchen HU, Jacobi F, Rehm J, Gustavsson A, Svensson M, Jonsson B, et al. (2011). "The size and burden of mental disorders and other disorders of the brain in Europe 2010." European Neuropsychopharmacology. 21 (9):655–79.
- 42. [△]Schredl M, Ciric P, Götz S, Wittmann L (2004). "Typical dreams: stability and gender differences." The Jour nal of Psychology. 138(6):485–94.
- 43. [^]Sterpenich V, Perogamvros L, Tononi G, Schwartz S (2020). "Fear in dreams and in wakefulness: Evidence f or day/night affective homeostasis." Human Brain Mapping. 41(3):840–50.
- 44. [^]Giri B, Kinsky N, Kaya U, Maboudi K, Abel T, Diba K (2024). "Sleep loss diminishes hippocampal reactivatio n and replay." Nature. 630(8018):935–42.
- 45. [△]Louie K, Wilson MA (2001). "Temporally structured replay of awake hippocampal ensemble activity durin g rapid eye movement sleep." Neuron. 29(1):145–56.
- 46. [△]Valli K, Revonsuo A, Pälkäs O, Ismail KH, Ali KJ, Punamäki R-L (2005). "The threat simulation theory of th e evolutionary function of dreaming: Evidence from dreams of traumatized children." Consciousness and C oqnition. 14(1):188–218.
- 47. [△]Grinde B (2005). "An approach to the prevention of anxiety-related disorders based on evolutionary medic ine." Preventive Medicine. 40(6):904–9.
- 48. [△]Gould SJ, Vrba ES (1982). "Exaptation—a missing term in the science of form." Paleobiology. 8(1):4–15.
- 49. ^{a, b}Ungurean G, Behroozi M, Böger L, Helluy X, Libourel P-A, Güntürkün O, et al. (2023). "Wide-spread brain activation and reduced CSF flow during avian REM sleep." Nature Communications. 14(1):3259.
- 50. [^]Shein-Idelson M, Ondracek JM, Liaw H-P, Reiter S, Laurent G (2016). "Slow waves, sharp waves, ripples, an d REM in sleeping dragons." Science. 352(6285):590–5.
- [△]Manger PR, Siegel JM (2020). "Do all mammals dream?" Journal of Comparative Neurology. 528(17):3198– 204.
- 52. [△]Pophale A, Shimizu K, Mano T, Iglesias TL, Martin K, Hiroi M, et al. (2023). "Wake-like skin patterning and neural activity during octopus sleep." Nature. 619(7968):129–34.
- 53. [△]Ramos EA, Steinblatt M, Demsey R, Reiss D, Magnasco M (2023). "Abnormal behavioral episodes associate d with sleep and quiescence in Octopus insularis: Possible nightmares in a cephalopod?" bioRxiv. 2023.05.11. 540348.

- 54. ^{a, b}Graziano M, Webb T (2016). "From sponge to human: The evolution of consciousness." In: Evolution of N ervous Systems. 2nd ed. Elsevier Inc. p. 547–54.
- 55. [△]Leys SP (2015). "Elements of a 'nervous system'in sponges." Journal of Experimental Biology. 218(4):581–9
 1.
- 56. [^]Limosani I, D'Agostino A, Manzone ML, Scarone S (2011). "The dreaming brain/mind, consciousness and p sychosis." Consciousness and Cognition. 20(4):987–92.

Declarations

Funding: No specific funding was received for this work.

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.