

Review of: "Tweeting AI: A Machine Learning Approach for Bird Species Detection and Classification"

Suprava Ranjan Laha¹

1 Siksha O Anusandhan University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

- 1. The overall writing style is clear and easy to understand. However, proofreading is recommended to address minor grammatical errors and ensure consistency throughout the document.
- 2. The document should include relevant citations and references to acknowledge prior work and establish credibility.
- 3. It would be helpful to include visual examples of the bird species detection and classification results to provide readers with a tangible understanding of the system's capabilities.
- 4. The document's structure could be improved by organizing sections into subsections, such as "Methodology," "Data Collection," "Model Training," etc., for better readability.
- The results section should provide more comprehensive and organized information about the performance of the model. Including metrics such as accuracy, precision, recall, or F1 score would provide a clearer understanding of the classification performance.
- 6. The section briefly mentions the training and evaluation processes but lacks sufficient details. It would be beneficial to include information on the model architecture, hyperparameters, and optimization techniques used.

Qeios ID: DRXP6Q · https://doi.org/10.32388/DRXP6Q