

Review of: "Nigerian Youths' Perception of Privacy and their Self Disclosure on Social Media"

Hazzan Moses Kayode¹

¹ University of Ibadan

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

I would like to commend the efforts of the authors of this article for the job well done. However, the following observations and suggestions could help in improving the quality of the work

Introduction

- **Scholars have investigated the manifestation of the privacy paradox and youths' actual online behaviour, presenting differing views on factors responsible for this behavior (page 2)** – Who are these scholars, and what are their works or findings? It is appropriate to show us the works of these scholars in a pattern of their recency.
- **Findings show that individuals' perception and value of their private information vary based on their personalities, and this partly explains the different levels of self-disclosure (page 2)**. – Findings from which studies? I think it is apposite to cite the studies involved at this juncture. Therefore, this area needs at least two citations.
- The introductory part of this treatise is treated from the dimension (lenses) of the literature review instead of introducing the theme of the discussion as well as the problematisation of the issue discussed. Doing this will create room for the gap the study intends to fill.
- Perhaps, the authors might interrogate the issues of one of the perceived benefits of the disclosure in terms of the pecuniary benefit to the owner of the information.
- The acronym FEMI may be useful at the introductory part of the study, most especially in the area of the problematisation of the study. In this case, each of the letters of the acronym means:

F= Frequency of the problem observed

E= Extent of the observed problem

M = Manifestation of the observed problem

I = Implication of the observed problem if it is not resolved on time.

Literature

- **Findings have shown that self-disclosure on social media and the social web generally is relatively higher when compared to face-to-face social interactions among relational partners, given various independent and**

situational factors, part of which is the feelings of anonymity or intimacy. Where are the citations of the studies that show these amplified findings?

- **The findings also imply that users think more of the benefits and need for their intended actions than the risks, thus engaging in a higher level of disclosure.** Which findings show this assertion? There seemed to be incoherence in the organisation of the literature in this section. The authors need to critically engage literature on this subject of concern and more significantly employ globalisation of the extant literature by looking at the issue(s) discussed in light of both global and local contexts.
- Thus, it involves what **Altman and Taylor** referred to as dialectics in self-disclosure, ... which Altman and Taylor and which year is the work; this requires citation (page 5).
- The arrangement and discussion of the issues in the literature reviewed need to be logically and sequentially presented.
- The work is riddled with bifurcated patterns of spelling (e.g. **behavior**, and **behaviour**): British and American patterns. It is advisable to stick to one of the two.
- **Research also revealed that the collapsed context nature of social media has no significant effect on or correlation with the extent of users' disclosure and privacy behaviors on social media** (page 6). Which research specifically?
- In the reviewed literature, the medley of the conceptual, theoretical as well as empirical is devoid of systematic arrangement which can bring about logicity and coherence in the presentation of the literature.
- Alan Westin - which work and which year?
- In the meantime, it is apposite that the local literature that treats the topic or kindred topics should be critically interrogated to season the study. Let us see the dimensions of the arguments of scholars as far as these local researches are concerned.

Methodology

- The use of purposive sampling demands justification for the use of this technique. For instance, there must have been an observed peculiarity that warrants the use of this technique to select a particular sample among a whole gamut of the population.

Findings

This conforms to Yu's (2016) findings on the privacy paradox –what is the level of conformity, or what exactly makes the finding be in tandem with that of the cited author?