

Review of: "Formal Verification of a Change Control Process in Project Management"

Tzu-Chien Wang¹

1 National Taiwan University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Overall, the article presents a wealth of material and demonstrates a clear and organized logical structure. However, there are a few areas that might benefit from further exploration.

- 1. The article's literature review appears somewhat limited. Including a comparative analysis of the computation tree logic method could significantly enhance the paper.
- 2.It is suggested that the author consider highlighting the applicability of the computation tree logic method more prominently within the literature section.
- 3. This article addresses discrete time and sequentiality. Could the authors explain their preference for utilizing computational tree logic methods over Markov chains? Additionally, it would be valuable to elaborate on the advantages of employing the computational tree logic approach.
- 4.Despite the author's use of the computation tree logic method to outline its key properties, there is a missing component regarding the verification of the Integrated Change Control processes. No validation of this sort is presented in the experimental section.
- 5. The reference list seems relatively concise, especially in terms of recent sources from the past 10 years. Broadening the range of references could further enrich the paper.

Qeios ID: DWBHTA · https://doi.org/10.32388/DWBHTA