

Review of: "Urban Green Infrastructure Planning for the Bangkok Metropolitan Region: An Empirical Study for Greenspace Expansion"

Ipsita Dutta¹

¹ University of Gour Banga, Purnia, India

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Dear Authors,

The work is very informative, although it feels that it could be more organized with some minor corrections. Here are my suggestions-

1. The introduction and methodology parts are too lengthy. Make them shorter. In the introduction, state your problem and the importance or significance of this study in the selected study area. And in the methodology part, I think 3.2 is not necessary as you already put the details in the previous table.
2. What do you refer to by green space expansion, and why is a planning for this expansion required in your study area? How are green infrastructure and green space different? Explain.
3. The results section could be more organized. Give more detail.
4. What is the reference for your table 1 while weighting the parameters? And for selecting those?
5. Are all those 14 parameters equally important for green infrastructure planning? Did you do a collinearity test among the parameters?

Hope you'll follow these suggestions and submit again for peer review.

All the best.