

## Review of: "Government expenditure and economic growth: evidence from the critical sectors in an emerging economy"

## Yurii Pasichnyk1

1 National Academy of Agrarian Sciences of Ukraine

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

- I. Object of the study: clearly defined and defined.
- II. The subject of the study. It is stated in a reasoned manner.
- III. Methodology.
- 3.1 Use of theoretical constructs. After performing a thorough analysis of these constructs in the literature review, the authors did not decide which one was chosen as applicable to Nigeria. At least, there are no arguments.
- 3.2. Mathematical framework. Sufficient for this study.
- 3.3. Data collection. The amount of data, sources are sufficient and convincing.
- 3.4. Methodology. Reasoned and complete.
- 3.5. Period of research. The time series is sufficient.
- 3.6. Checking the optimality of the results. Present.
- IV. Achieved results. The tasks set were generally realized. Evaluation criteria are logically defined. The influence of factors is proved with proper mathematical justification.
- V. Conclusions. The conclusions are convincing. At the same time, there are some doubts about investing pension payments in innovations due to the low income of pensioners. It would also be desirable to emphasize the priority of the impact on economic growth of the public expenditures under study.
- VI. Overall assessment. The study is characterized by originality, logical structure and content, and analytical results. The recommendations made regarding the article itself are intended to improve the authors' further research.

Sincerely, Yurii Pasichnyk, Doctor of Economics, Professor of the National Research Center "Institute of Agrarian Economics" of the National Academy of Agrarian Sciences of Ukraine, Ukraine, Kyiv