

# Review of: "The essence of ChatGPT is the subversion brought about by the combination of "technologized society" and "societized technology": "society technologization" and "technology socialization""

Agus Ekomadyo<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institut Teknologi Bandung

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

The first comment for this paper is that no references are included. This is important, because it involves the author's school of thought. From the keywords presented "society technologization and technology socialization", it is very possible that the author was influenced by Science and Technology Studies (STS), which sees a mutual relationship between technology and societies. However, elsewhere, the author mentions the relationship between technology and lifestyle, and this is more a concern of Cultural Studies (CS).

Does the author try to combine the two schools of thought? It can only be answered from the references used. For example, in Cultural Studies, the term "Technoculture" refers to Donna Haraway's thought. However, Haraway strongly influences the STS thinkers such as Bruno Latour. Mapping previous thoughts, or state of the art of the research, is important to map out where this research is, and of course it will show its contribution to the development of knowledge.

If indeed STS is chosen by the author's basis, the research should not only uncover the impact of the technology, but also how also the technology are constructed or shaped by the "societies". In case of ChatGPT as Artificial Intelligence (AI) model, it is need to unravel the actors who invent the CHatGPT, what kind of discourse surrounding the inventors, and what purposes related to the society which were intended by the inventors.

In recent discussions about AI and ChatGPT, ethical issues have emerged. Here lies the difference standpoint between CS and STS regarding the basis of ethics. CS places an ethical basis on moral criticism of modernism, while STS seeks to repair modernism through progressive human and nonhuman composition for the common world.

This ethical basis will only be seen from the references chosen by the author.