

Review of: "Computer-Assisted Language Learning Tools for Punctuation in Dyslexia: Development and Evaluation"

Stamatios Papadakis¹

¹ University of Crete

Potential competing interests: The author(s) declared that no potential competing interests exist.

This is a well written paper and i enjoyed reviewing it.

Some of my comments to help improve the manuscript are the following:

- The abstract could become much better if re-written to state clearly the contribution of this study to the field as well as the gap this study intends to address in the field.
- The theoretical background presentation could be improved by incorporating clarity and additional evidence regarding recent studies.
- Details about demographic information, reliability and validity information, any statistical or data analysis should be presented.
- Regarding the findings the value of the research to the academician and the practitioner should be expressed in an unambiguous manner.

Discussion

- Improve the discussion section to better ascertain what is unique/novel about your findings
- Explain in detail how the article contributes to new knowledge in the domain. Evidence from published research studies should be tied into the new contributions in the discussion section.