

Dingle's "Clock Paradox" Disproof

A. Sfarti UC Berkeley, CS Dept, 387 Soda Hall, Berkeley, CA, USA

Abstract

In this paper we provide a concise refutation to the fringe arguments brought up by Herbert Dingle against special relativity.

Keywords: special relativity, mutual time dilation

PACS: 03.30.+p

Introduction

In this appendix to "Science At the Crossroads" on page 230, Herbert Dingle writes [1]:

"Thus, between events E0 and E1, A advances by t_1 and B by $t_1 = at_1by$ (1). Therefore

$$\frac{rate_of_A}{rate_of_B} = \frac{t_1}{t_1} = \frac{t_1}{at_1} = \frac{1}{a} > 1$$
 (3)

...

Thus, between events E0 and E2, B advances by t_2 and A by $t_2 = at_2$ by (2). Therefore

$$\frac{rate_of_A}{rate_of_B} = \frac{t_2}{t_2} = \frac{at_2}{t_2} = a < 1$$
 (4)

Equations (3) and (4) are contradictory: hence the theory requiring them must be false."

In the next section we will explain the errors in Dingle's thinking and we will provide a simple resolution.

Resolution

Assume that there is observer A located in frame S at location x. Observer A has a clock that ticks with period T. Observer B is located in frame S' at location x'. B has a clock that ticks with period T'. S' moves with speed v with respect to v. The Lorentz transform from v to v is:

$$t' = \gamma(v)\left(t - \frac{vx}{c^2}\right) \tag{1}$$

Therefore, clock **A** is seen by observer **B** (from his location x') to have the period:

$$T_B^A = \gamma((t+T) - \frac{vx}{c^2}) - \gamma(t - \frac{vx}{c^2}) = \gamma T \tag{2}$$

The Lorentz transformation from S' to S is:

$$t = \gamma(v)(t' + \frac{vx'}{c^2}) \tag{3}$$

Therefore, clock \mathbf{B} is seen by observer \mathbf{A} (from his location \mathbf{x}) to have the period:

$$T_A^B = \gamma((t'+T') + \frac{vx'}{c^2}) - \gamma(t' + \frac{vx'}{c^2}) = \gamma T'$$
(4)

Observer **A** continues to measure his own clock to tick with period T. Observer **B** continues to measure his own clock to tick with period T'. Now, what happens if T=T' (the clock periods are identical)? Then:

$$T_B^A = T_A^B = \gamma T \tag{5}$$

Conclusion

We provided a very concise disproof of Dingle's "clock paradox".

References

[1] H.Dingle, "Science At the Crossroads", 1972