

Review of: "Serological detection strategy and prevalence of HIV and Viral Hepatitis B and C in blood donors in Yaoundé Cameroon"

| Suzete | Cloures | Lombar | di ¹ |
|--------|---------|--------|-----------------|
| | | | |

1 Fundação Pró-Sangue Hemocentro de São Paulo

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

title: I didn't understand the strategy carried out. I suggest changing the title, as the article looks more like a prevalence study.

Summary and introduction are good.

Methods:

It is not clear in the article that the kits that were used in donor screening are the same in France.

If not specify. And if they are the same, explain why they did not use chemiluminescence kits to confirm the false positive cases of isolated anti-HBc.

Place the a-HBc results in the flowchart.

Results:

Put in the table the values of p even if they are not statistically significant.

Discussion:

Rewrite the thread as it looks like results.

In the discussion the authors should discuss the results.

Explore the subject further.

Conclusion: Rewrite as this copy of the discussion.