

Review of: "Graft Angiography Through Right Radial Artery: A Retrospective Cohort Study"

Sajjad Ahmed Khan

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The abstract provides a comprehensive overview of your study on graft angiography through the right radial artery. Here are some areas where you could make improvements:

Clarity and Conciseness: While the abstract covers essential aspects of the study, it could benefit from more concise language. Try to streamline sentences and remove any unnecessary details.

Highlighting Key Findings: Make sure to clearly highlight the key findings of your study, such as the high procedural success rate and the relatively low complication rates associated with graft angiography through the right radial artery.

Including Study Limitations: While you've mentioned limitations in the discussion section, it's also important to briefly mention them in the abstract. This gives readers a quick understanding of the study's scope and potential constraints.

Implications for Clinical Practice: Discuss briefly how your findings may impact clinical practice. For example, emphasize the implications of using the right radial artery as an alternative access route for graft angiography in post-CABG patients.

Language and Structure: Ensure that the abstract maintains a formal tone and adheres to the standard structure of an abstract (Objective, Methods, Results, Conclusion). This makes it easier for readers to navigate and understand your study.

Overall, your abstract provides a solid overview of your study, but addressing these areas could enhance its clarity and effectiveness in conveying the significance of your research.

Qeios ID: E7X8FE · https://doi.org/10.32388/E7X8FE