

Review of: "A Survey Study on Women Entrepreneurship in the BTR Area of Assam"

Azamat Maksudunov¹

1 Kyrgyz Turkish Manas University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The paper titled "A Survey Study on Women Entrepreneurship in the BTR Area of Assam" explores a timely and significant topic concerning women entrepreneurship in a specific region. The authors' choice of subject matter aligns with current interests and highlights the importance of understanding and promoting women's involvement in entrepreneurship.

However, the literature review section has been identified as lacking in depth and failing to establish a sufficient theoretical basis for the subject. To address this, it is recommended that the authors rework the literature review, providing a more comprehensive analysis of existing research and incorporating key theories and frameworks relevant to women entrepreneurship. As a result of the literature review, provide hypotheses.

Concerning the methodology, the paper provides some details, but clarity is needed regarding the scales used for data collection. It is essential to explicitly mention the scales employed, ensuring transparency and replicability of the study. Furthermore, the analysis is criticized for being basic, particularly relying on descriptive analysis. To enhance the scientific rigor of the paper, it is advised to incorporate more advanced statistical methods and present the findings using tables and figures for better clarity. The presentation of findings is deemed inappropriate, and the suggestion is to rework this section by incorporating tables and figures where applicable. This visual representation will aid in conveying information more effectively.

In the discussion and conclusion sections, a reevaluation is recommended to align with the refined literature review and the enhanced presentation of findings. Ensure that the discussion reflects the depth of analysis and goes beyond mere descriptive insights.

Lastly, while a list of references is provided, it is noted that they are not sufficiently analyzed and integrated into the literature review. The authors should critically engage with the cited works, highlighting their relevance to the study and contributing to the theoretical framework.

In summary, the paper has a strong foundation but requires significant improvements in the literature review, methodology, analysis, and presentation of findings to meet the standards of a scientific paper.

Qeios ID: E8VZZS · https://doi.org/10.32388/E8VZZS