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First, about a quarter of the sentences are ungrammatical. Second, the document is a pointless ramble. Vague comments about decolonization, the legal system, and consciousness are mixed together. There are accurate mentions of work on these topics but these statements are unrelated to what comes before and after them so they do not support a coherent thesis. I am sorry to say that a chat bot would produce a more coherent document. What we have here is equivalent to what would be produced if three extended outputs from a broken chat bot in response to different queries were mixed together. No term in the entire document is ever defined. What can "decolonization of the mind" possibly mean? Near the end of the document, the heading Conclusion is inserted. However, the only purpose this serves is to signal that the end of the document is near since there is no conclusion. Rather, the section labelled "Conclusion" begins with a question that introduces a new topic. The document vaguely reminded me of Finnegan's Wake in its opacity and rambling style. Reading this document is a waste of time because no opinion on any topic is conveyed to the reader.

I apologize for this negative review. I intended to withhold it but Qeios insisted that I post it.