

Review of: "Exploring the Impact of Future Land Uses on Flood Risks and Ecosystem Services, With Limited Data: Coupling a Cellular Automata Markov (CAM) Model, With Hydraulic and Spatial Valuation Models"

Michael Alvarez González¹

¹ Universidad Central de Las Villas

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Reviews recommendation: Do not publish.

The decimal units must be a comma, not a point, as IU set default. You have to fix this requirement in all the documents.

It's not the correct grammatical form; use a paragraph with more than 10 lines to comment on several ideas. This situation requires review in all the papers to split paragraphs as well as the author's criteria.

This sentence belongs to result item, not to the introduction, as a comparison with the other ways to use the CAM model.

The map scale isn't correct because it is not possible to read the pixels' variation (or difference) in time land uses progress; this criteria is valid for all the figures used as thematic maps to illustrate this time variation.

The areas' names, elevation scale, and river details shown in figure 2 can't be read.

I recommend selecting another cartographic technique to represent the thematic map.

The correct form must be: 99,63 % according to the IU used in the paper.

Figure 4. The numerical difference in time in the visualization techniques of land uses is irrelevant, so you must change the representation methods to represent the relevance differences data found in your research.

This similar problem is present in all the thematic maps used.

Poor use of figure 6 results in the discussion.

Materials and methods are combined with results in the introduction and methodology sections.