

Review of: "Neurotherapeutic Comparison of Aripiprazole and Ethanolic Extract of *Fragaria Ananassa* on Cerebrum and Amygdala of Methamphetamine Intoxicated Male Wistar Rats"

hakan Senturk¹

¹ Osmangazi University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Detailing the methods in the abstract section instead of stating the statistical analysis makes the study more understandable for the readers.

"Group A was the control group, and B received 100mg/kg of meth. Group C received 200mg/kg of the ethanolic extract of strawberry. Groups D, E, and F received 100mg/kg of meth and 100mg/kg of the ethanolic extract of strawberry, and, finally, 100mg/kg of meth was treated with 200mg/kg of the ethanolic extract of strawberry and 10mg/kg of aripiprazole, respectively." Sentences should be rewritten to be shorter and more understandable.

The abstract section should be completely reconsidered. Measured parameters and their results should be expressed more clearly.

"Aripiprazole is primarily used for the treatment of schizophrenia or bipolar disorder." Is there any reference?

There are too many paragraphs in the introduction section, which disrupts the integrity of the text. For this reason, the introduction section should be rearranged.

In addition, the hypothesis of the study and the study plan designed to confirm this hypothesis should be included in the last section of the introduction.

There is no ethical approval number and date of the study in the method section.

Also, how were the determined concentrations determined? Is there any reference about this?

Behavioral tests, biochemical analyses, and histological methods should be detailed.