

Review of: "Auditing the Cost of Treating Hypertension in a Tertiary Health Facility in Yobe State, North-Eastern Nigeria"

Nicolás Renna¹

1 Universidad Nacional de Cuyo

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

General Comments:

Clarity and Structure:

- The introduction provides a good overview of the hypertension scenario in Nigeria and the objectives of the study.
- The methodology section is clear, detailing the study period, location, sample size, and the inclusion/exclusion criteria.
- The results are well-presented with tables to enhance understanding.

Data Presentation:

- The use of tables (Table 1, Table 2, etc.) is beneficial for organizing information.
- Figures 1 and 2 are clear and enhance the understanding of medication distribution.

Specific Comments:

Introduction:

- Clarify the source of the data used in the systematic review mentioned in the introduction.
- Specify whether the estimated prevalence of hypertension is for the adult population.

Methodology:

- Clarify why a minimum of three clinic visits was chosen as an inclusion criterion.
- Explain the rationale behind excluding patients with diabetes mellitus or those presenting as medical emergencies.

Results:

 Consider providing a brief interpretation or discussion alongside each result presented in the tables to guide the reader.

Table 1:

 Consider using a consistent format for presenting percentages in the table (some are in parentheses, and some are not).



Table 2:

 Clarify if the percentages represent the proportion of patients who underwent each investigation at either the first or subsequent visits.

Table 3:

• Provide units for the costs (e.g., # for Naira) in the table header for clarity.

Table 4:

· Clarify whether the costs are for each clinic visit.

Table 5:

· Include a brief explanation of why data for employed respondents are presented separately.

Cost Calculation:

 Ensure transparency in the calculation of the average monthly cost per patient. Break down the components and show the calculations.

Discussion:

- Discuss the implications of the findings, especially the financial burden on patients. Consider relating this to the socio-economic context of Nigeria.
- Suggest avenues for potential government intervention or support for patients.

Conclusion:

• The conclusion is concise. Consider reiterating the main findings and their implications.

Limitations:

· Explicitly state the limitations of the study, such as potential biases or factors not considered.

Overall Impression:

The article provides valuable insights into the cost of hypertension treatment in Nigeria. Enhancing clarity in presentation and addressing specific points in the discussion and conclusion would strengthen the paper.

Qeios ID: EGDSUA · https://doi.org/10.32388/EGDSUA