

Review of: "The Success of Ecological Leadership for the Church Expectations"

Johan Buitendag¹

¹ University of Pretoria

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

1. Why refer to creations (plural)? Do you mean creatures?
 2. Who is "this leader" in line two? Perhaps there is a problem of syntax here. Who can "help "per se?
 3. "...according to the Bible that represents the general view". You are far too affirmative and confident of THE view of God. A more moderate style of humbleness is more appropriate.
 4. Why is it essential for the church to have a leader (singular)? Or do you mean leadership and not the leader? Organic leadership has much more flexibility for many leaders vis-a-vis an episcopal one.
 5. God chose a prophet not to lead but to prophesize. A leader is always time and place-bound, i.e. ad hoc.
 6. If a researcher chooses an empirical study based on surveys, your methodology should be spelt out, such as the population surveyed and the questions.
 7. Motivation should be given why Joshua and Esther were chosen and not others.
 8. With due respect, I do not think this article meets the scientific research criteria. It is a biography of the vital role of Rev. Timothy Sukat Winarko. The whole setting is misplaced, and ecology has very little to do with the article's thrust.
- Instead, rearrange the article accordingly. It is about the leadership of a specific person within a particular church that could have value for churches beyond its borders.