

Review of: "Larache's Coastal in Morocco: Evaluating Dredging's Impact on Fisheries and Shorelineevolution"

Youssef EL Mourabit¹

¹ Université Ibn Zohr

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

I have thoroughly reviewed your article titled "Larache's Coastal in Morocco: Evaluating Dredging's Impact on Fisheries and Shoreline Evolution," and I would like to commend you on your comprehensive analysis and the depth of your research. Your work contributes significantly to our understanding of the environmental impacts of dredging activities along the coast of Larache, which is a topic of great importance.

Your methodology is robust, and the data presented provides valuable insights into the complex dynamics between dredging activities, fisheries health, and shoreline evolution. The case studies and examples you've provided enhance the relevance of your findings and underscore the practical implications of your research.

However, I would like to offer some constructive feedback to further strengthen your manuscript before it can be considered for publication.

Format of References: The current referencing style appears to be inconsistent in several places. Adhering to a single, standardized format for citations and references throughout the article is crucial. This not only aids in maintaining academic integrity but also ensures ease of verification by readers. I recommend revising the references section according to the [specific style guide] that our journal follows, ensuring uniformity in citation format, author names, publication dates, and the inclusion of DOI numbers where applicable.

Inclusion of Test Statistics: While your analysis is comprehensive, the inclusion of specific test statistics would significantly enhance the validity of your findings. Statistical tests could provide quantifiable evidence to support your conclusions. This addition would offer readers a clearer understanding of the significance of your results and the reliability of your data.

Expansion of Bibliography in Discussion: The discussion section offers insightful interpretations and implications of your findings. However, incorporating a broader range of bibliographical references could further enrich this section. Comparative analysis with previous studies, especially those concerning similar interventions in different geographical areas, would offer a more comprehensive perspective. Expanding the bibliography would not only validate your arguments but also position your findings within the broader context of existing research.

