

Review of: "The smallest gap between primes"

Daniel Kane¹

1 University of California, San Diego

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The thing that they claim "trivially holds" is still false for the same reasons as before. Only now the new equation that they use to justify it, would not even clearly imply the given statement if true.

I suggest that the authors use a computer to verify for themselves that

 $(p_n + 1) \ prod_{p_k \ge p_n} \ p_k^2/(p_k^2 - 1) \le p_n \ prod_{p_k \ge p_n} \ p_k^2/(p_k^2 - 2.71828)$

does not hold even for very large values of n.

Qeios ID: EJ1EB8 · https://doi.org/10.32388/EJ1EB8