

# Review of: "Are Academic Libraries Doing Enough to Support the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)? A State-of-the-Art Review"

Nonthapat Pulisri<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Toulouse Business School

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

This is an interesting topic.

The methodology is good.

However, there are some few comments for further improvement,

1. From this statement in the abstract "A majority of these papers represent institutions located in Asia (26.32%), Africa (21.05%), International (15.79%), Europe (10.53%), North America (5.26%), and Oceania (5.26%)", what do you mean by "international"? Should you analyze by continent?
2. For "**Figure 2.** Four pillars of sustainability," please put the reference (Otherwise "own analysis").
3. For "**Figure 5.** VosViewer keyword concurrence found in the selected literature," why is the label on the big blue dot disappeared?
4. For "**Figure 6.** Overall SDGs found in the papers," it is better to rearrange SDGs in the boxes by order (e.g., from SDG 1 to 17) for better readability.
5. What is the contribution of this paper to further development, especially with other stakeholders?