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Thank you for the opportunity to provide feedback on this manuscript. Below are some of the suggestions which can help strengthen the manuscript.

1. The manuscript title suggests that this is original research but after reading the manuscript, it will be evident that it is a review. So, it would be great if it can be reflected in the title. The title is not properly written.

2. The first paragraph of manuscript, in the introduction section, has only one citation (citation 2). Is the whole paragraph just from one citation? There are few more paragraphs in the manuscript which doesn't have citation so it would be great if citation issues throughout the manuscript can be addressed.

3. Could the method section be explained in detail? How were the terms used? Was search based on AND or OR? What permutations and combination terms were used? The term “DM in combination with tobacco use dependence”, was the whole sentence used as search term?

4. In the results section, would it be possible to provide a flow chart which shows the terms used, database used, followed by total number of papers which popped out due to the search terms, how many abstracts of them were reviewed, how many were finally included for the manuscript, how many were animal studies, how many human studies, how many human studies are clinical trials, how many are observational studies and so on.

5. If there would be a table with summary of all the papers used in the review, it would be great.

6. The review includes different types of studies and tries to draw conclusion based on them. Could a section on limitations be included and address the issue?