

Review of: "Willingness-to-pay for health insurance: A comparative study between formal and informal health-workers"

Ali Kazemi-Karyani¹

¹ Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

This study utilized the Contingent Valuation Method (CVM) to compare the willingness-to-pay (WTP) for health insurance between formal and informal health workers in a city in Bangladesh. The results of this study could provide valuable evidence on WTP in Bangladesh. However, there are some limitations that the authors should consider before publishing the article.

Firstly, the manuscript contains numerous grammar mistakes, such as the use of the future tense for all verbs in the method section. The authors should rewrite the entire manuscript. It would be beneficial for a native speaker to edit the manuscript for better fluency and to ensure that the language is clear and natural-sounding. This can help to avoid any potential misunderstandings or confusion for readers.

Secondly, in the methods section, the authors should provide more contextual details about the health system and health insurance schemes in the study's location.

Thirdly, there are no results presented in the manuscript. The authors should present their findings in the results section.

Fourthly, the authors should present bid levels (prices) in the DBDC.

Lastly, the discussion section is relatively short, and without the results presented, it's challenging to evaluate this section of the manuscript. The authors can improve their discussion by referencing the following manuscripts: "Eliciting preferences for health insurance in Iran using discrete choice experiment analysis" and "Developing attributes and levels for a discrete choice experiment on basic health insurance in Iran."