

Review of: "Procurement Planning & the Rwanda Biomedical Centre (RBC)"

Ayoze C. González Hovi1

1 Universidad de La Laguna

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Dear researcher,

I do not doubt that your intentions with the study are ambitious and the field is interesting, given that it seeks to study improving efficiency in the provision of a public service as important as Rwanda's RBC.

However, there are several points that you should consider if you want to write a scientific article:

- a) Your object of study is too ambitious (extensive) and not very precise. I recommend you study a more specific field, and select specific variables. It is better to have a concrete and precise study that allows us to measure the real impact of a few variables on others.
- b) Related to the imprecision of the work, the conclusion of the study is limited to saying that greater/better purchasing planning results in a reduction costs reduction and quality increasing. The result is too obvious and does not provide anything new. The interesting thing of a study is discovering the impact of certain variables on others.
- c) The conclusions cannot be worded to indicate that 29.5% of respondents indicated that costs had been significantly reduced. Costs must be measured by quantitative parameters, not by the opinion of the subjects. And also, you have to be more specific with terms like "considerably", how much is that? more than 20%, 30%,...?
- d) I recommend dividing the work, developing more specific objectives, quantifying the variables and doing a more specific study.

Qeios ID: EMJWX7 · https://doi.org/10.32388/EMJWX7