

Review of: "Cloud Computing Paradigm in Academics"

Dheresh Soni

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

1. Literature review is not done properly, need revision and include latest work.
2. "III. Related Work" irrelevant, it should be in literature review part only.
3. Objective of paper is not clearly discussed.
4. Flow of paper is not clear, it looks that author started with some thought and ended with other thought.
5. As said in abstract - "compare the three leading cloud computing platforms" is not shown in paper.
6. What are comparison criteria or parameter? is not discussed.
7. As mentioned in abstract - "address the pivotal question of choosing cloud computing", what are different pivotal question to select any specific cloud platform? is not discussed in paper.
8. Under what parameter or criteria author selected AWS as better cloud for proposed model?
9. "V. Important Cloud Services" part can be renamed as Discussion or used in any other section.
10. The proposed work should be defined in more systematic way or in scientific way with proper flow of working using flow chart.
11. Reference paper are to old, use recent papers.