

# Review of: "What is it like to be Out-of-Body? Phenomenal accounts of experiencers"

Ingrid Egerod<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Capital Region of Denmark

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

## Questions and comments

This is a great topic and an interesting study.

**Title:** The title would benefit from an indication of the type of research, e.g., literature review

**Background:** What is the aim of the study?

**Method:**

Topics or categories: The information was grouped according to topics. Were these topics decided on beforehand/a priori? Was it deductive analysis? How were the themes constructed?

Literature search: "*By searching all possible extensive reports of people with recurrent or multiple OBEs from 2000 to 2021, we retrieved 13 such reports*". How was the literature searched? In databases? Other? How many eligible reports were found? What were the criteria? How were the 13 selected?

First-person versus second-person account: It is stated that data consists of 13 first-person accounts. If the accounts have been published, they were either written by the persons experiencing the phenomenon (first-person) or by a researcher that conducted the interviews (second person). How were the accounts published?

Source: The sources are missing in the References. It is not possible for the reader to locate the following sources of data: Tressoldi et al. 2014, Patelli 1984, Nicholls et al. 2019, Melendez 2016, Seller 2016, 2017, DeGracia 2006, Johnson 2016, Kellogg 2016.

Analysis: It is stated that classical qualitative Content Analysis approach (Selvi, 2019) was used avoiding any interpretative approach. In a qualitative content analysis, it is usually stated whether the analysis is deductive or inductive. How did you decide on the categories?

**Discussion:**

It appears that the discussion is not really a discussion between your findings and the existing literature. It is just a summary of the results.

**Conclusions:**

The conclusions are more like a discussion. The conclusion should be the final analysis of the study and show what we have learned and what we should do now.