

Review of: "A Proposed Secure Wearable Device Payment System Based on Blockchain Technology"

Nidal Turab¹

¹ Al-Ahliyya Amman University, Jordan

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

- In the abstract section, some expressions need to be changed, such as “
 - Security dangers =====> Security threats
 - Vulnerable to assault ==> vulnerable to attack
- In the keywords section, the acronyms ECC and Fin-Tech need to provide the full name
- In the introduction section, the sentence “ Almost all company procedures can be modified using the IoT” is not clear and needs to be clarified
 - The pronouns like we, our, us are not used in any research paper
 - Capitalize each word of the expressions such as denial of services, radio frequency identifiers, etc.
- In the Studies on Blockchain Technology section, the following issues need to be addressed
 - The sentence “ . Ittay[62]'s author investigates how....” is not clear and needs to be rephrased.
 - The sentence “ In this paper, Alotaibi[54] has emphasized” needs to be rephrased.
- The Conceptual Framework is very short and needs more explanation of every layer, clarifying its function and importance. Also, it is better to compare it with the previous frameworks in the literature, illustrating its strength and weakness points
- Can you provide examples of how to clarify the sentence about company procedures and IoT?
- What specific details should be added to the Conceptual Framework to enhance clarity?