

Review of: "Perception of Biodiversity versus Connection to Nature: Which Can Influence Wildlife Product Consumption in Vietnam?"

Laura Therese Heintz

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Dear Authors,

I enjoyed reading your article. It is of high relevance due to the topic and is based on a valid data set.

To improve the quality of data analyses, please reflect more on the validity and reliability of each table and data analyses. E.g., the fig. 3 - fig. 8 suggestions are not clear to me, since I don't know how if the differences of the self-assessment of biodiversity knowledge are significantly different between the "yes"/"no"-options.

Furthermore, I got the feeling that your results are partly contradictory to other studies. Which factors really indicate high potential for nature conservation issues? In your theory you write: Thus, we speculate CTN could lead to decreased WPC." But the hypotheses then focus only on the potential mediation/association of both factors. Do you want to investigate the factors connection first or is the direction of mediation more important to your story and your research motivation? Please align your theory with your hypotheses and come back to your hypotheses part by part in the results and discussion chapter. Your answer to your research question is not clear to me yet since you "speculate" something else than you hypothesize and come back to it with table 2 as if you investigate direction of association, not just association. Table 2 is too long for the main text from my perspective anyway.

In the following, I liked your explanation of the findings a lot. Maybe if you get the theory and hypotheses more clear, the end of the discussion will be even better, following a clear red line in the text.

Best wishes