

# Review of: "Measuring researchers' success more fairly: going beyond the H-index"

Luca Fiorillo<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of Messina

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

Dear Author,

Your brief manuscript is really interesting. H-index is currently one of the most widely used bibliometric indexes in the western world. Obviously, a single numerical parameter, among things easily "scalable" in unethical ways, cannot be the only parameter to weigh a researcher. Precisely for this reason, there are many variations of this index, which consider other factors, such as time, the number of authors and much more. Among other things, a recent article on a new bibliometric parameter briefly retraces all the parameters derived from the currently used Hirsch index, I recommend reading it: Fi-Index: A New Method to Evaluate Authors Hirsch-Index Reliability. Publishing Research Quarterly 2022, 10.1007/s12109-022-09892-3, doi:10.1007/s12109-022-09892-3.

Your idea is undoubtedly useful, despite the application appearing complex and cumbersome. I suggest you just briefly consider talking in your article about all the present variants of the h-index, critically, and then only finally, expose your variant.