

Review of: "Exchange Rate Pass-Through and Inflation on Unemployment in Nigeria"

Mohsen Mohammadi Khyareh¹

¹ Gonbad Kavous University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

1. While the abstract mentions significant effects, it lacks specificity regarding the magnitude and direction of these effects. Including some quantitative results would make the abstract more informative.
2. It would be beneficial to briefly mention any limitations or constraints faced during the study to provide a more balanced view of the research.
3. The abstract hints at implications for current account adjustments and exchange rate policies, but it could be more explicit about specific recommendations for policymakers.
4. In introduction some paragraphs could be better connected for smoother flow and coherence. For instance, the transition from discussing stagflation to the current situation in Nigeria could be more seamless.
5. While the introduction refers to previous studies, it could explicitly highlight the research gap that this study aims to address.
6. The introduction could benefit from explicitly stating the hypotheses or research questions that the study seeks to answer.
7. In the literature review section, while the studies are presented individually, there is limited synthesis or comparison between them. A more in-depth discussion that highlights common trends, differences, and gaps in the literature would strengthen the section.
8. Ensure consistent citation style throughout the literature review section. For example, some citations include the year in parentheses, while others do not.
9. While the paper mentions the theoretical foundation for choosing the models, providing a brief justification for selecting the NARDL model, ARDL, and SVAR over other alternatives would strengthen the methodology section.
10. The Methodology section lacks explicit discussion on the assumptions made during model formulation and any potential limitations of the chosen methodologies.
11. In the discussion of the tests, provide a clearer explanation of how statistical significance is determined and what the results imply for the study.
12. The explanation of the ARDL results could be more concise, focusing on the key findings and their implications for the study.
13. The diagnostic tests' results could be presented more succinctly, focusing on significant findings.
14. The discussion on the coefficients could be more focused on the variables of primary interest and their economic significance.

15. A more detailed discussion on why the positive movement of RERt is statistically insignificant in the long run could enhance the reader's understanding.
16. The rejection of the normality hypothesis should be addressed or discussed, especially its implications and potential limitations.
17. The impact of trade openness on unemployment is discussed broadly. A more detailed exploration of the mechanisms through which trade openness affects productivity and efficiency, leading to increased unemployment, would enhance the conclusion's depth.
18. The conclusion could benefit from more nuanced explanations of the symmetrical and asymmetrical impacts, providing a clearer understanding of the dynamics at play. Additionally, the conclusion might address potential limitations or areas for further research.
19. The recommendation related to protectionist policies is specific and actionable. However, further details on the types of protectionist measures and potential challenges or consequences could strengthen this suggestion. Additionally, the recommendation related to monetary policy changes could be more specific, outlining the nature and timing of the suggested changes.