

# Review of: "Speaking about Restorative Justice"

Canisius Mwandayi<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of South Africa

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

## Strengths of the Paper

1. The researcher has done a thorough literature review of the subject under study and manages to underscore the universal applicability of restorative justice principles.
2. It is appreciative to note that the researcher did not just highlight the positive side of restorative justice but was able to draw attention also to the weaknesses of such an approach.

## Weaknesses of the Paper

1. Hardly does one tell the difference between the abstract and the introduction. I would encourage the researcher to stick to the basics of an abstract.
2. The researcher draws attention to traditional justice models, but hardly does one find a thorough follow-up on such models. One needs to be aware also that these traditional justice models are not homogenous. There are actually some traditional justice models which have since been using restorative justice to address crime.
3. Apart from the literature review, what's new really that the researcher is bringing on board, what gap, in other words, has he/she identified in the existing literature and of which he/she is trying to fill?