

# Review of: "The Role of Women's Business Performance in Promoting Sustainable Development"

Ni Nyoman Reni Suasih<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Universitas Udayana

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

The topic of this article is really very interesting, because it raises the issue of women. However, there are still a number of things that really need explanation from the author of the article.

1. Variables in this study are defined in "oval" form which is generally for latent variables. However, the article does not mention indicators for each variable. In the reliability test (Cronbach's Alpha) there is only one output, even though there are 6 variables (5 exogenous and 1 endogenous) in the article, so it is very confusing for the reader. Even though the reliability test should be on all latent variables.

2. The quite fatal error in this article is related to the determination of the sample, where the author mistakenly presents a calculation technique with the Slovin Formula which should be  $\alpha = 0.05$  (5%), but in the article it is written as 0.5. Even if the calculation results are correct, the error can be transmitted to the reader. In addition, the sampling technique in this study is not clear, where the authors only explain the population and the technique for determining sample size. Even worse, the respondents were only 68% of the specified sample size.

3. One of the independent variables is entrepreneurship training, while the author does not specifically mention a purposive sampling technique with the criterion that the sample is female entrepreneurs who have attended entrepreneurship training. Moreover, this variable is also measured using a Likert Scale, not a dummy whether they have received training or not.

4. The discussion is still superficial because it only presents an explanation of the statistical test results, without describing how the actual interpretation of each variable, or any relationship between variables.

5. Conclusions, suggestions, and forcing articles still present the terminology "H1", "H2", "adjusted R-square", where in conclusions there should be no more specific test terms or statistical results.