

Review of: "The Impact of Project Financial Subsidies on Project Achievement"

Gregory Kunyenje ¹

¹ Catholic University of Malawi

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Abstract reflects the focus of the topic and is properly outlined. However, the authors should include a potential contribution in the last part of the abstract.

Introduction contains a number of unsupported claims. For example, the first two paragraphs should be supported with proper literature. In their current form, the paragraphs contain sweeping statements. Further, the research should be properly motivated within the introduction. What has been covered so far is simply a catalogue of literature, with many sweeping statements.

References

There are different formats for references. In some cases, in-text references are with ampersand (&) while in others they are used with 'and'. The authors should be consistent in the way they use references. In addition, the paper should minimize usage of 'according to'. There are better ways of referencing without using that phrase. There are references that are duplicated or not cited in the text. One wonders why those references are listed. For example, Widodo, D. S. (2014) and Pratiwi, N. J., Jamaluddin, J., Niswaty, R., & Salam, R. (2019) have been listed twice under references yet not cited in the text.

Methodology – What methodology was used to answer the research question? That is not clear in the paper. Findings and discussion are also missing from the paper. What did the study find? This is not stated in the paper.

The paper must be revisited in its entirety and should follow the dictates of academic research.