

Review of: "A Challenge in A(G)I: Cybernetics Revived in the Ouroboros Model as One Algorithm for All Thinking"

Irwan Fathurrochman

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

- 1. Some statements in the research discussion should be accompanied by references, so the authors should try to support their claims with references.
- 2. The entire literature review needs to be improved. Currently, the literature review lacks focus and does not present a review of related research to identify what has been researched and what should be researched, etc. The authors should provide a clear overview of <u>A Challenge in A(G)I: Cybernetics Revived in the Ouroboros Model as One Algorithm for All Thinking</u>. What are the SWOT analyses that were successfully conducted, mention challenges, strengths, suggestions, etc.? (Note: Corrected from "5." to "2." for proper sequence)
- 3. Methodology requires major revision. The authors should explain how the data were collected and analyzed. In addition, more information about the research setting and participants as well as the questionnaire is needed.
- 5. Results presented in Tables should be clarified with definitions and explanations of at least 1 paragraph that include citations from reputable international journals. Please refer to other research papers to learn how this can be done.
- 4. Authors should discuss suggestions for future research in the conclusion, as well as provide an overview of the novelty of this research. The conclusion should contain a paragraph explaining the novelty of your research and how it can be used for similar research in the future.
- 5. Authors should edit and proofread the manuscript to improve its quality and correct any errors. Authors should explain the distinction of <u>A Challenge in A(G)I: Cybernetics Revived in the Ouroboros Model as One Algorithm for All Thinking research from similar research in the last five years.</u>
- 6. Your argument is not scientific and does not reflect the results of research, but only repeats the explanation of previous research results, add your argument to the research results.

Qeios ID: EV8AJ3 · https://doi.org/10.32388/EV8AJ3