

Review of: "On the Meaning of Psychological Concepts: Is There Still a Need for Psychological Concepts in the Empirical Sciences?"

C.S. Nosal¹

¹ Warsaw School of Social Psychology

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The main thesis of this article is unclear. It is impossible to conduct research without concepts and without theoretical foundations related to concepts. The author should clearly state why he considers concepts unnecessary or redundant in research. I remind on this occasion of Kurt Lewin's old thesis: Only theory tells what is being measured. So concepts precede any research procedure. Is the author of the reviewed article proposing some form of naive realism, without concepts. Or perhaps there is some misunderstanding of the issue addressed in the article, and this needs to be clarified. I am not rejecting the article, but proposing to clarify it.