

Review of: "Comparing Visual and Software-Based Quantitative Assessment Scores of Lung Parenchymal Involvement Quantification in COVID-19 Patients"

Asish Bera

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

It is an interesting work on Al-driven lung segmentation using two different visual quantitative assessments. It is not clear how both tools are useful together in terms of working principles. Why is only one tool not enough? How this combination performs better could be explained?

It looks like the bottom part of Fig. 2 has been cropped (the last row of the left image might be missing). Please clarify this. Including a few more image samples with variations would be interesting.

Overall, the paper could be accepted after proper restructuring/well-organizing of the manuscript to add more scientific worth.

Qeios ID: EXKPTM · https://doi.org/10.32388/EXKPTM