

v2: 13 February 2024

Research Article

From Classrooms to Boardrooms: The Influence of Education on Economic Dynamics

Peer-approved: 24 January 2024

© The Author(s) 2024. This is an Open Access article under the CC BY 4.0 license.

Qeios, Vol. 6 (2024)
ISSN: 2632-3834

Juan Habib Bendeck Soto¹

1. Corporación Universitaria Remington, Medellín, Colombia

This research paper examines the critical role of higher education in influencing economic dynamics within the technology sector. It explores how education contributes to human capital formation, innovation, entrepreneurship, and labor market adaptability in the context of rapid technological change. Utilizing a qualitative approach with interviews from educators, policymakers, entrepreneurs, and economic analysts, the study identifies four key themes: Human Capital Formation and Workforce Productivity, Fostering Innovation and Entrepreneurship, Impact on Occupational Mobility and Income Distribution, and Aligning Education with Economic Demands. The findings underscore the importance of aligning education with industry needs and the role of education in promoting economic growth and reducing income inequality, offering insights for policy development and curriculum design in the evolving technology landscape.

Corresponding author: Juan Habib Bendeck Soto, Juan.bendeck@uniremington.edu.co

Introduction

In an era where technology drives global economic trends, the question arises: how pivotal is higher education in shaping the innovators and entrepreneurs of tomorrow? This research paper delves into this query, exploring the critical role of higher education in fostering innovation and entrepreneurship within the technology sector. As the world navigates the complexities of the Fourth Industrial Revolution, the interplay between advanced education and economic dynamics becomes increasingly significant.

Recent initiatives, such as the UMKLAMart.ac.id project at Universitas Muhammadiyah Klaten in Indonesia, exemplify the entrepreneurial spirit within higher education institutions. This campus-based economic empowerment movement leverages web-based

technology to foster financial independence and entrepreneurial development among the academic community (Pratolo, Anwar, & Hamranani, 2023). Such examples underscore the evolving role of universities in directly contributing to economic development through innovation and entrepreneurship.

The current global economic landscape, marked by rapid technological advancements and digital transformations, underscores the necessity for a skilled workforce. This paper addresses a crucial gap: understanding how higher education equips individuals with the cognitive, creative, and entrepreneurial competencies essential for thriving in a technology-driven economy. Our aim is to unravel the intricate ways in which higher education influences human capital formation, technological innovation, and labor market dynamics within the technology sector.

Empirical studies and theoretical frameworks, such as those by Heckman et al. (2013) and Audretsch and Thurik (2001), have highlighted the profound impact of

advanced education on economic growth and entrepreneurship. These studies suggest that education extends beyond the mere accumulation of knowledge, fostering critical skills that enhance workforce productivity and adaptability. In the context of increasing automation, as emphasized by Frey and Osborne (2017), and the challenges posed by the Fourth Industrial Revolution (4IR), the need for education systems to evolve and cater to new technological demands is more urgent than ever (Vijayalekshmi, Twetwa-Dube, Pillai, & Gumbo, 2023).

The significance of this study lies in its potential to inform policymakers, educators, and economists about leveraging higher education for sustainable and inclusive economic development in a technology-dominated era. This paper is structured to first examine the theoretical underpinnings of the relationship between higher education and economic dynamics, followed by an analysis of empirical evidence, and concluding with insights and recommendations for future policy and educational strategies.

Theoretical Background

The theoretical background constitutes a cornerstone to understand the intricate relationship between higher education and economic dynamics in the technology sector. This section delves into the theoretical foundations that illuminate the deep interaction between higher education and economic growth, shedding light on the mechanisms that connect classrooms with boards of directors. By examining key theoretical frameworks and models, it unravels the nuanced pathways through which education shapes human capital accumulation, innovation, and labor market dynamics, ultimately steering nations toward sustainable economic prosperity in the future technological era.

Human Capital Theory

Central to the nexus between education and economics is the influential Human Capital Theory, as proposed by Becker (1964). This seminal framework posits that individuals accumulate human capital through education, training, and experience, enhancing their productive capabilities and earning potential. Schultz (1961) further emphasizes that education is crucial for human capital formation, equipping individuals with cognitive skills, technical competencies, and problem-solving abilities necessary for effective workforce participation. Mincer (1974) supports this with empirical evidence, demonstrating the positive

correlation between education levels and income, underscoring education's role in forming a skilled workforce that propels economic growth. The enduring relevance of these foundational theories is echoed in recent studies, such as those by Bondar et al. (2023), which highlight the importance of managing innovation processes in the IT sphere for economic growth, emphasizing the role of human and institutional capital in achieving competitive advantages in the innovation space.

Endogenous Growth Theory

Building on Human Capital Theory, Endogenous Growth Theory, as articulated by Romer (1990) and Lucas (1988), expands the discourse by emphasizing education's role in fostering technological progress and innovation. Lucas's seminal work underscores the significance of human capital in driving economic growth, suggesting that investments in education can lead to a virtuous cycle of knowledge accumulation and innovation. This theory posits that education not only enhances individual productivity but also fuels the engine of innovation driving economic advancement. A well-educated population is better equipped to absorb and generate knowledge, leading to the creation and diffusion of new ideas, technologies, and processes. Recent analyses, such as Plakhin, Novikov, and Schislyaeva (2023), further develop these concepts by exploring the effectiveness of higher education in contributing to national economy sectors, highlighting the need for innovative approaches to higher education management to support economic development.

Innovation and Entrepreneurship

Beyond traditional classroom environments, education's impact on economic dynamics extends to innovation and entrepreneurship. Schumpeterian theories (Schumpeter, 1942) assert that education is fundamental in fostering a culture of entrepreneurship, enabling individuals to identify opportunities, take risks, and drive technological disruptions. Education provides aspiring entrepreneurs with the knowledge and experience necessary to navigate complex business landscapes and explore untapped market niches. The role of education in promoting innovation and entrepreneurship remains critical in the context of the Fourth Industrial Revolution and the post-pandemic economic recovery, as evidenced by recent studies that emphasize the importance of digitalization and innovation in the IT sector for economic growth (Bondar et al., 2023).

Labor Market Dynamics

Education influences labor markets through skill composition, occupational mobility, and income inequality. The theory of Skill-Biased Technological Change (Acemoglu, 2002) suggests that technological advances favor skilled labor, creating a demand for individuals with specialized knowledge and technical competencies. Education enables people to adapt to changing skill demands and maintain stable employment amid technological disruptions. The pandemic has further highlighted the importance of adaptability and resilience in the labor market, underscoring the need for continuous learning and skill development to navigate the challenges of the evolving economic landscape.

Methodology

This research paper undertakes an empirical investigation to explore the intricate relationship between Bachelor's level higher education and economic dynamics within the technology sector, specifically focusing on Colombia. The study is predicated on the hypothesis that higher education at this level significantly influences human capital formation, technological innovation, and labor market dynamics. A qualitative research approach is employed to delve into the nuanced ways in which investments in higher education can drive technological innovation and entrepreneurship, providing valuable insights for policymakers, educators, and economists within the Colombian context. This approach aligns with Creswell and Poth's (2018) emphasis on the centrality of participants' insights in qualitative research, making it particularly suited for examining the intricate impact of educational investments on the technology sector.

The research design adopts an interpretivist paradigm, aiming to understand the complex interplay between education and economic dynamics through the social context and individual experiences in Colombia. Data collection is primarily conducted through in-depth interviews, chosen for their effectiveness in capturing comprehensive perspectives on the impact of Bachelor's level higher education on innovation and entrepreneurship in the technology sector. The semi-structured interview protocol, designed to elicit detailed reflections on participants' experiences and observations, focuses on key themes such as the impact of higher education on human capital, innovation and entrepreneurship, labor market dynamics, and the alignment of educational programs with the economic needs of the technology sector in Colombia.

A purposive sampling strategy is utilized, targeting individuals with specific expertise or experience at the nexus of Bachelor's level higher education and technology sector economics in Colombia. This approach ensures that participants can offer informed and in-depth perspectives on the subject matter. The participant pool, comprising 20-30 individuals, includes educators in higher education, policy makers in education and technology, entrepreneurs and industry leaders from the technology sector, and economic analysts specializing in the technology sector. This diverse group is selected to provide a comprehensive view of the impacts of higher education on the technology sector in Colombia, ensuring a rich dataset for analysis.

The data collection instrument, a semi-structured interview protocol, is pilot-tested with a small group of participants to ensure clarity and relevance to the Colombian context. Interviews are conducted either in-person or via video conferencing, based on participants' location and availability, and last approximately 45 to 60 minutes. With participants' consent, interviews are audio-recorded and transcribed verbatim for analysis, with notes taken during the interviews to capture non-verbal cues and contextual information.

This meticulous data collection process, guided by the principles outlined by Creswell and Poth (2018), is designed to capture the diverse perspectives of individuals at the intersection of higher education and the technology sector in Colombia. The study employs a purposive sampling strategy, as recommended by Guest, Bunce, and Johnson (2006), to ensure that the participant pool is sufficiently diverse and informed to provide comprehensive insights into the study's focus areas.

By exploring the experiences and insights of a diverse group of participants, this research aims to contribute valuable knowledge to the fields of education policy, economic development, and technology innovation, specifically tailored to the Colombian context. This organized and coherent methodology, incorporating the interpretivist paradigm and qualitative interviews, ensures that the study's findings will offer actionable insights for enhancing the role of higher education in driving economic growth and innovation within Colombia's technology sector.

Results

The findings, organized into four thematic categories, offer rich insights into how higher education influences human capital formation, innovation,

entrepreneurship, and labor market dynamics within the technology sector.

Theme 1: Human Capital Formation and Workforce Productivity

In exploring the connection between higher education and workforce productivity, educators and policymakers emphasized the role of education in equipping individuals with cognitive skills and critical thinking. This theme aligns with Becker's (1964) emphasis on the role of education in enhancing human capital. One educator noted, "Education is not just about

imparting knowledge; it's about shaping critical thinkers who can adapt to and drive change in the labor market." Policymakers highlighted the economic benefits, with one stating, "Our investments in education have a direct payoff in terms of a more skilled workforce, leading to enhanced productivity and, ultimately, economic growth." Industry representatives added a practical perspective, with one leader explaining, "We see a clear difference in productivity and innovative output when we have well-educated employees. Their skills translate into tangible benefits for the organization."

Participant	Perspective and Insights
Educator	"Education equips individuals with cognitive skills and critical thinking, fostering adaptability in the labor market."
Policymaker	"Investments in education lead to a skilled workforce, enhancing productivity and contributing to economic growth."
Industry Leader	"Well-educated employees bring valuable skills to the workplace, contributing to higher organizational efficiency and innovation."

Table 1. Perspective and Insights from Participants about Human Capital Formation and Workforce Productivity

Theme 2: Fostering Innovation and Entrepreneurship

This theme captured how education fuels innovation and entrepreneurship. Entrepreneurs and educators concurred that education lays the foundation for innovative thinking and entrepreneurial capabilities. Mentioning to Audretsch (2008), one entrepreneur mentioned, "Our educational system is a cradle for innovation, providing the toolkit for individuals to turn

ideas into enterprises." An educator elaborated, "Our curricula are designed to go beyond the basics, fostering a mindset geared towards creativity and problem-solving, which is essential for entrepreneurship." This sentiment aligns with Drucker's (1985) ideas about the role of innovation in education. A policymaker added, "We focus on education that emphasizes R&D, creating a pipeline of new ideas that fuel technological progress and economic growth."

Participant	Perspective and Insights
Entrepreneur	"Education fosters a culture of innovation, providing the knowledge and confidence needed to seize entrepreneurial opportunities."
Educator	"Curricula designed to encourage creativity and problem-solving nurture an entrepreneurial mindset among students."
Policymaker	"Education that emphasizes research and development helps generate new ideas, fueling technological progress and economic dynamism."

Table 2. Perspective and Insights from Participants about Fostering Innovation and Entrepreneurship

Theme 3: Impact on Occupational Mobility and Income Distribution

Economists highlighted education's role in enhancing occupational mobility and addressing income disparities. Aligning with Becker's (1964) human capital theory, one economist stated, "Education is the key that unlocks opportunities for higher-paying jobs, especially in tech sectors." From the industry

perspective, a leader mentioned, "The correlation between higher education and income mobility is evident. It's a tool for reducing income inequality and fostering social cohesion." Policymakers stressed the importance of targeted educational interventions to alleviate economic disparities, as one noted, "Through specific educational programs, we can empower individuals to overcome economic barriers and achieve upward mobility."

Participant	Perspective and Insights
Economist	"Education plays a pivotal role in facilitating occupational mobility, allowing individuals to access higher-paying jobs."
Industry Leader	"Higher education levels are associated with greater income mobility, reducing income inequality and promoting social cohesion."
Policymaker	"Targeted educational interventions can alleviate disparities, enabling individuals to transcend economic barriers and achieve upward mobility."

Table 3. Perspective and Insights from Participants about Impact on Occupational Mobility and Income Distribution

Theme 4: Challenges and Opportunities in Aligning Education with Economic Demands

In this theme, the focus was on the need for education to adapt to evolving skill demands in the technology sector. An educator stressed, "We must continually evolve our curricula to bridge the gap between academia and industry requirements." This view resonates with Kerr's (2001) emphasis on aligning education with industry needs. Entrepreneurs and

policymakers pointed out the importance of collaboration between academia and industry. An entrepreneur shared, "Partnerships between educational institutions and industry are crucial for ensuring education meets real-world economic needs." Policymakers underscored the role of flexible education policies in keeping pace with economic changes, with one stating, "Our policies aim to promote adaptability and continuous skill development, keeping our workforce competitive in a rapidly changing economic landscape."

Participant	Perspective and Insights
Educator	“Educational institutions must adapt curricula to meet evolving skill demands, bridging the gap between academia and industry.”
Entrepreneur	“Collaborative efforts between academia and industry are crucial to aligning education with real-world economic needs.”
Policymaker	“Policies that promote flexible education and skill upgrading empower individuals to remain competitive in a rapidly changing economy.”

Table 4. Perspective and Insights from Participants about Challenges and Opportunities in Aligning Education with Economic Demands

The findings of this qualitative study offer a detailed and multifaceted view of the role of higher education in shaping economic dynamics within the technology sector. The insights gathered from educators, policymakers, entrepreneurs, industry leaders, and economic analysts highlight the critical importance of education in developing human capital, enhancing innovation, and stimulating entrepreneurial activities. These outcomes emphasize education's significant influence on workforce productivity, occupational mobility, and income distribution in the context of the rapidly evolving technology sector. For policymakers, educators, and economists, these findings provide essential guidance in formulating strategies that harness the transformative power of education for fostering sustainable and inclusive economic growth in an era increasingly dominated by technological advancement.

Discussion

The identification of four thematic areas – Human Capital Formation and Workforce Productivity, Fostering Innovation and Entrepreneurship, Impact on Occupational Mobility and Income Distribution, and Challenges and Opportunities in Aligning Education with Economic Demands – contributes to a holistic understanding of this relationship.

In the domain of Human Capital Formation and Workforce Productivity, this study builds upon and extends Becker's (1964) Human Capital Theory. It highlights the transformative role of education in enhancing cognitive abilities and critical thinking essential for labor market adaptability. The findings suggest that higher education curricula incorporating cognitive and critical thinking skills significantly

influence workforce adaptability and productivity. This aligns with Spence's (1973) concept of the signaling power of education in the job market. Implications for educational policy and practice include the need to integrate these skills into curricula to enhance workforce readiness.

The insights on Fostering Innovation and Entrepreneurship corroborate the assertions of Audretsch (2008) and Drucker (1985) on the pivotal role of education in driving innovation. The emphasis on curricula promoting creativity and problem-solving resonates with Florida's (2002) concept of the creative class. Educational policies and programs that prioritize research and development could transform educational systems into hubs of innovation, aligning with Romer's (1990) emphasis on the significance of knowledge in driving economic growth. For practical application, this suggests that educational institutions should partner with technology sector stakeholders to ensure curricula are responsive to the needs of an innovative economy.

Regarding Impact on Occupational Mobility and Income Distribution, the study aligns with Becker's (1964) human capital theory and Goldin and Katz's (2008) research on education and income inequality. Education emerges as a crucial factor in enabling occupational mobility and mitigating income disparities. This is in line with Bourdieu's (1986) discussion on the role of cultural capital in social mobility. The study suggests the importance of targeted educational interventions to promote social cohesion and economic equity, supporting Sen's (1999) work on capability and well-being.

In addressing Challenges and Opportunities in Aligning Education with Economic Demands, the study reflects Kerr's (2001) views on the importance of this

alignment. Collaboration between academia and industry, as supported by Etzkowitz and Leydesdorff's (2000) theory of the triple helix of university-industry-government relations, emerges as crucial. Flexible education policies that foster continuous skill development, as proposed by Jarvis (2006), are essential for maintaining a competitive workforce. For policymakers, this emphasizes the need for agile policy frameworks that support lifelong learning and adaptability in educational systems.

Conclusions

The exploration of the intricate relationship between education and economic dynamics within the technology sector, as evidenced in this study, highlights the significant role of education in influencing the economic trajectory of nations. This research navigated through various aspects, such as human capital formation, innovation, occupational mobility, and the alignment of educational curricula with economic demands, thus providing a comprehensive view of how education serves as a critical link between academia and industry.

As a catalyst for human capital formation, education has proven to be crucial in equipping individuals with cognitive skills, critical thinking, and adaptability. These attributes are indispensable in the contemporary dynamic workforce, supporting Becker's (1964) Human Capital Theory. The unanimous agreement among educators, policymakers, and industry leaders on the importance of education in developing a skilled workforce underlines its pivotal role in promoting economic growth.

In fostering innovation and entrepreneurship, the study aligns with Schumpeterian theories (Schumpeter, 1942), showing how education acts as a crucible for innovative and entrepreneurial minds. This study suggests that education should not only transfer knowledge but also focus on cultivating a culture of innovation and entrepreneurship, empowering individuals to harness opportunities and drive economic progress.

The research also demonstrates education's effectiveness in enhancing occupational mobility and reducing income inequality, resonating with the Skill-Biased Technological Change theory (Acemoglu, 2002). Education is a powerful tool for individuals to navigate changing skill demands and climb the socioeconomic ladder.

A significant finding of this study is the need for education systems to adapt to the evolving economic demands of the Fourth Industrial Revolution. The

insights indicate the necessity for agile educational structures that can equip individuals with the skills needed in an automated and technologically advanced economy. Collaboration between academia and industry is paramount in developing relevant and progressive curricula.

Recommendations for Future Research and Practical Applications:

- Future Research:** Further studies should investigate the specific educational strategies and pedagogies that most effectively foster innovation and entrepreneurship in the technology sector. Additionally, research into the long-term impact of educational reforms on economic growth and social mobility would provide valuable insights.
- Practical Applications:** Policymakers and educators should consider developing and implementing curricula that are directly aligned with the needs of the technology sector, including a focus on digital literacy, problem-solving, and entrepreneurial skills. Partnerships between educational institutions and technology companies should be encouraged to ensure that education remains relevant and responsive to industry needs.
- Policy Development:** Governments and educational bodies should work together to create policies that encourage lifelong learning and continuous skill development, ensuring the workforce remains adaptable to technological advancements and changes in the labor market.

Appendix A

Semi-structured Survey

Introduction

- Briefly introduce yourself and the purpose of the study.
- Explain the confidentiality of the responses and the use of the information for research purposes only.
- Confirm consent for recording the interview for accuracy in data collection.

Section 1: Background Information

1. Can you tell me about your current role and your experience in the technology sector/higher education/policy making?
2. How do you perceive the relationship between higher education and the technology sector in

Colombia?

Section 2: Impact of Higher Education on Human Capital

3. In your opinion, how does Bachelor's level education contribute to developing skills necessary for the technology sector?
4. Can you provide examples of how higher education institutions in Colombia are adapting their curricula to meet the demands of the technology sector?
5. How do you evaluate the effectiveness of current higher education programs in fostering critical thinking, problem-solving, and technical skills among students?

Section 3: Innovation and Entrepreneurship

6. How does higher education at the Bachelor's level support innovation and entrepreneurship within the technology sector in Colombia?
7. Are there specific programs or initiatives within universities that you believe significantly contribute to technological innovation? Please describe them.
8. In what ways do partnerships between higher education institutions and the technology industry facilitate entrepreneurship?

Section 4: Labor Market Dynamics

9. How does Bachelor's level education impact employment trends and job creation in the technology sector in Colombia?
10. What are the challenges and opportunities you see in the alignment between higher education outputs and the labor market needs in the technology sector?

Section 5: Alignment with Economic Needs

11. How well do you think higher education institutions in Colombia are responding to the dynamic needs of the technology sector?
12. What improvements or changes would you suggest to better align higher education programs with the economic and technological advancements in Colombia?

Section 6: Policy and Future Directions

13. From a policy perspective, what actions do you think are necessary to enhance the contribution of

higher education to the technology sector's growth in Colombia?

14. Looking forward, what trends or changes do you anticipate in the relationship between higher education and the technology sector over the next decade?

References

- Acemoglu, D. (2002). Technical change, inequality, and the labor market. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 40(1), 7-72.
- Audretsch, D. B. (2009). The entrepreneurial society. *Journal of Technology Transfer*, 34, 245-254.
- Audretsch, D. B., & Thurik, A. R. (2001). What's new about the new economy? Sources of growth in the managed and entrepreneurial economies. *Industrial and Corporate Change*, 10(1), 267-315.
- Becker, G. S. (1964). *Human capital: A theoretical and empirical analysis, with special reference to education*. The University of Chicago Press.
- Blanden, J., Gregg, P., & Machin, S. (2004). Intergenerational mobility in Europe and North America. *A report prepared for the Sutton Trust*, 1-159.
- Bondar, D., Pavlov, V. V., Kopotiienko, T., Nazarova, K., Hordopolov, V., & Nezhyva, M. (2023). Management Efficiency Analysis of Innovation Process in the Financial and Economic Activities of IT Sphere Enterprises.
- Bourdieu, P. (1986). *The forms of capital*. In J. Richardson (Ed.), *Handbook of Theory and Research for the Sociology of Education* (pp. 241-258). Greenwood.
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2008). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77-101.
- Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2018). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches*. Sage Publications.
- Denzin, N. K. (2009). *The research act: A theoretical introduction to sociological methods*. McGraw-Hill.
- Drucker, P. F. (1985). *Innovation and entrepreneurship: Practice and principles*. Harper & Row.
- Etzkowitz, H., & Leydesdorff, L. (2000). The dynamics of innovation: from National Systems and "Mode 2" to a Triple Helix of university-industry-government relations. *Research Policy*, 29(2), 109-123.
- Florida, R. (2002). *The rise of the creative class*. Basic books.
- Frey, C. B., & Osborne, M. A. (2017). The future of employment: How susceptible are jobs to computerization?. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 114, 254-280.

- Goldin, C., & Katz, L. F. (2008). *The race between education and technology*. Harvard University Press.
- Guest, G., Bunce, A., & Johnson, L. (2006). How many interviews are enough? An experiment with data saturation and variability. *Field Methods*, 18(1), 59–82.
- Heckman, J. J., Pinto, R., & Savelyev, P. A. (2013). Understanding the Mechanisms through Which an Influential Early Childhood Program Boosted Adult Outcomes. *American Economic Review*, 100(1), 205–241.
- Jarvis, P. (2006). *Globalisation, lifelong learning and the learning society*. Routledge.
- Jones, C. I. (1995). R&D-Based Models of Economic Growth. *The Journal of Political Economy*, 103(4), 759–784.
- Kerr, C. (2001). *The uses of the university*. Harvard University Press.
- Malterud, K. (2001). Qualitative research: Standards, challenges, and guidelines. *The Lancet*, 358(9280), 483–488.
- Mincer, J. (1974). *Schooling, experience, and earnings*. National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Plakhin, A., Novikov, S., & Schislyaeva, E. (2023). Management of the productiveness of higher education in the development of branches of the national economy.
- Pratolo, S., Anwar, M., & Hamranani, S. S. T. (2023). UMKLAMart.ac.id: Campus-based Economic Empowerment Movement using Web-based Technology on Muhammadiyah Higher Education in Indonesia (Universitas Muhammadiyah Klaten Chapter).
- Romer, P. M. (1990). Endogenous technological change. *Journal of Political Economy*, 98(5), S71–S102.
- Schultz, T. W. (1961). Investment in human capital. *The American Economic Review*, 51(1), 1–17.
- Schumpeter, J. A. (1942). *Capitalism, socialism, and democracy*. Harper & Brothers.
- Sen, A. (1999). *Development as freedom*. Oxford University Press.
- Spence, M. (1973). Job market signaling. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 87(3), 355–374.
- Vijayalekshmi, S., Twetwa-Dube, S., Pillai, D. B. (Vinoth Kumar), & Gumbo, S. (2023). The Role of Higher Education Institutions in Enabling the Fourth Industrial Revolution: A Bibliometric Analysis.

Declarations

Funding: No specific funding was received for this work.

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.