

Review of: "Toxicological evaluation of aqueous extracts of *Clematis hirsuta* and *Rhamnus prinoides*"

A.D. Soren

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The data from the acute toxicity study was summarized as mean±standard deviation and analyzed using the unpaired Student's t-test. The data from the subacute toxicity study was summarized as mean ±standard deviation and analyzed using Two Way ANOVA and Tukey's post hoc test. The significance level was set at $p\leq 0.05$.

this statement may be removed from abstract. Please refer similar work and see if this statement is mentioned in abstract or not. This may be incorporated in the methods section under statistical analysis.

place keywords in alphabetical order.

Mention voucher numbers of the identified plants

section 2.5 - it is fifty six, and not fifty-six. Instead all numbers may be mentioned in numerical form such as 56 and not fifty six. Similarly for other subsequent sub-sections, numerical may be mentioned.

It is sub-acute toxicity not subacute toxicity

What is the basis for dose selection in sub-acute toxicity

Place figure numbers in brackets example (Figure 1A)

The current study investigated the safety of *Clematis hirsuta* aqueous leaf and *Rhamnus prinoides* aqueous root extracts in rats.- THIS STATEMENT IS REDUNDANT. DELETE

In discussion, instead of 2 milligram, mention as 2 mg. Mention numerical forms in the entire text.

References mentioned inside the text does not mention the year, Why?