

Peer Review

Review of: "Farm to Fork's Discourse on Resources and Population"

Prof. Dr. Dr. Felix Ekardt¹

1. Independent researcher

The paper should be fundamentally revised in light of the fact that the Farm to Fork Strategy is no longer the current state of EU policy.

In addition, the text should deal with such programs at a more intense level, i.e., in more detail. See, for example, <https://felix-ekardt.eu/files/texts/Precision-Farming.pdf>

Furthermore, the term “socio-ecological” is difficult because “social” could be understood to mean highly divergent distribution policies.

Furthermore, the use of the theory-practice distinction seems strange here - what is meant is apparently rather pragmatic, not practical. On the one hand, it is trivial that when making decisions in the realm of law and morality, one finds oneself weighing conflicting concerns and goals; on the other hand, however, this cannot be understood as “merely pragmatic” or “suboptimal”.

Furthermore, more literature should be cited on the empirical issues raised in the text – there is plenty of it in each case.

Declarations

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.